

ON CERTAIN SUBCLASS OF p -VALENT FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY THE JUNG-KIM-SRIVASTAVA INTEGRAL OPERATOR

T. M. SEOUDY

ABSTRACT. The purpose of the present paper is to introduce certain subclass of p -valent functions by using the Jung-Kim-Srivastava integral operator in the open unit disc and to obtain the sufficient conditions for this subclass.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A}_p denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}), \quad (1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the open unit disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. We write $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$.

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is said to be in the class $S_p^*(\eta)$ of p -valent starlike functions of order η , if it satisfies the following inequality:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \eta \quad (0 \leq \eta < p; z \in U). \quad (2)$$

Motivated essentially by Jung et al. [3], Shams et al. [5] introduced the integral operator $I_p^\alpha : \mathcal{A}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p$ as follows (see also Aouf et al. [1]):

$$I_p^\alpha f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{(p+1)^\alpha}{z\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^z \left(\log \frac{z}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt & (\alpha > 0; p \in \mathbb{N}), \\ f(z) & (\alpha = 0; p \in \mathbb{N}). \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

For $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ given by (1), then from (3), we deduce that

$$I_p^\alpha f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p+1}{n+1}\right)^\alpha a_{p+n} z^{p+n} \quad (\alpha \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (4)$$

Using the above relation, it is easy to verify the identity:

$$z (I_p^\alpha f(z))' = (p+1) I_p^{\alpha-1} f(z) - I_p^\alpha f(z). \quad (5)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

Key words and phrases. p -valent function, analytic function, starlike function, integral operator.

Submitted March 26, 2013.

We note that the one-parameter family of integral operator $I_1^\alpha = I^\alpha$ was defined by Jung et al. [3].

Definition 1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha, \eta)$ if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{I_p^\alpha f(z)}{I_p^{\alpha+1} f(z)} \right\} > \frac{\eta}{p} \quad (0 \leq \eta < p; p \in \mathbb{N}; \alpha \geq 0; z \in U). \quad (6)$$

Putting $p = 1$ in (6), then the class $\mathcal{S}_1^*(\alpha, \eta)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha, \eta)$, which is defined by:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{I^\alpha f(z)}{I^{\alpha+1} f(z)} \right\} > \eta \quad (0 \leq \eta < 1; \alpha \geq 0; z \in U). \quad (1.7)$$

In the present paper, our aim is to determine sufficient conditions for a function $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ to be a member of the class $\mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha, \eta)$.

2. MAIN RESULTS INVOLVING THE OPERATOR I_p^α

We begin by recalling the following result (Jack's Lemma), which we shall apply in proving our results below.

Lemma 1 ([2] and see also [4]). *Suppose $w(z)$ be a not constant analytic function in U with $w(0) = 0$. If $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value at a point $z_0 \in U$ on the circle $|z| = r < 1$, then $z_0 w'(z_0) = \zeta w(z_0)$, where $\zeta \geq 1$ is some real number.*

Theorem 1. *If $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$ satisfies the following condition:*

$$\left| \frac{I_p^\alpha f(z)}{I_p^{\alpha+1} f(z)} - 1 \right|^\gamma \left| \frac{I_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{I_p^\alpha f(z)} - 1 \right|^\delta < M_p(\alpha, \eta, \delta, \gamma) \quad (z \in U), \quad (7)$$

for some real numbers η, δ and γ such that $0 \leq \eta < p$, $\delta + \gamma \geq 0$, $\alpha \geq 1$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$, then $f \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha, \eta)$, where

$$M_p(\alpha, \eta, \delta, \gamma) = \begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right)^\gamma \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p} + \frac{1}{2(p+1)}\right)^\delta & \left(0 \leq \eta \leq \frac{p}{2}\right), \\ \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right)^{\delta+\gamma} \left(\frac{p+2}{p+1}\right)^\delta & \left(\frac{p}{2} \leq \eta < p\right). \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Proof. Case (i) Let $0 \leq \eta \leq \frac{p}{2}$. Define a function $w(z)$ as

$$\frac{I_p^\alpha f(z)}{I_p^{\alpha+1} f(z)} = \frac{1 + \left(1 - \frac{2\eta}{p}\right) w(z)}{1 - w(z)} \quad (w(z) \neq 1; z \in U). \quad (9)$$

Then w is analytic in U , $w(0) = 0$ in U . Differentiating (9) logarithmically with respect to z and multiplying the resulting equation by z , we have

$$\frac{z(I_p^\alpha f(z))'}{I_p^\alpha f(z)} - \frac{z(I_p^{\alpha+1} f(z))'}{I_p^{\alpha+1} f(z)} = \frac{\left(1 - \frac{2\eta}{p}\right) z w'(z)}{1 + \left(1 - \frac{2\eta}{p}\right) w(z)} + \frac{z w'(z)}{1 - w(z)}. \quad (10)$$

By using (5), we obtain

$$(p+1) \frac{I_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{I_p^\alpha f(z)} - (p+1) \frac{I_p^\alpha f(z)}{I_p^{\alpha+1} f(z)} = \frac{2 \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) z w'(z)}{\left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{2\eta}{p}\right) w(z)\right] [(1-w(z))]},$$

$$\frac{I_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{I_p^\alpha f(z)} = 1 + \frac{2 \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)}{1-w(z)} + \frac{2 \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) z w'(z)}{(p+1) \left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{2\eta}{p}\right) w(z)\right] [(1-w(z))]},$$

$$\frac{I_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{I_p^\alpha f(z)} - 1 = \frac{2 \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)}{1-w(z)} + \frac{2 \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) z w'(z)}{(p+1) \left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{2\eta}{p}\right) w(z)\right] [(1-w(z))]} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\frac{I_p^\alpha f(z)}{I_p^{\alpha+1} f(z)} - 1 = \frac{2 \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)}{1-w(z)}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{I_p^\alpha f(z)}{I_p^{\alpha+1} f(z)} - 1 \right|^\gamma \left| \frac{I_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{I_p^\alpha f(z)} - 1 \right|^\delta \\ &= \left| \frac{2 \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)}{1-w(z)} \right|^\gamma \left| \frac{2 \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)}{1-w(z)} + \frac{2 \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) z w'(z)}{(p+1) \left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{2\eta}{p}\right) w(z)\right] [(1-w(z))]} \right|^\delta \\ &= \left| \frac{2 \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)}{1-w(z)} \right|^{\gamma+\delta} \left| 1 + \frac{z w'(z)}{(p+1) \left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{2\eta}{p}\right) w(z)\right] w(z)} \right|^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that $\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1$.

Then by using Lemma 1, we have $w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$ ($0 < \theta \leq 2\pi$) and $z_0 w'(z_0) = \zeta w(z_0)$,

$\zeta \geq 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{I_p^\alpha f(z_0)}{I_p^{\alpha+1} f(z_0)} - 1 \right|^\gamma \left| \frac{I_p^{\alpha-1} f(z_0)}{I_p^\alpha f(z_0)} - 1 \right|^\delta \\
= & \left| \frac{2 \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z_0)}{1 - w(z_0)} \right|^{\gamma+\delta} \left| 1 + \frac{\zeta w(z_0)}{(p+1) \left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{2\eta}{p}\right) w(z_0)\right] w(z_0)} \right|^\delta \\
= & \frac{2^{\delta+\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right)^{\delta+\gamma}}{|1 - e^{i\theta}|^{\delta+\gamma}} \left| 1 + \frac{\zeta}{(p+1) \left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{2\eta}{p}\right) e^{i\theta}\right]} \right|^\delta \\
\geq & \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right)^{\delta+\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{\zeta}{2(p+1) \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right)}\right)^\delta \\
\geq & \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right)^{\delta+\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2(p+1) \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right)}\right)^\delta \\
= & \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right)^\gamma \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p} + \frac{1}{2(p+1)}\right)^\delta
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (7) for $0 \leq \eta \leq \frac{p}{2}$. Therefore, we must have $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in U$ and hence $f \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha, \eta)$.

Case (ii) When $\frac{p}{2} \leq \eta < p$. Let $w(z)$ be defined by

$$\frac{I_p^\alpha f(z)}{I_p^{\alpha+1} f(z)} = \frac{\frac{\eta}{p}}{\frac{\eta}{p} - \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)} \quad (z \in U),$$

where $w(z) \neq \frac{\eta}{p - \eta}$ in U . Then $w(z)$ is analytic in U and $w(0) = 0$. Proceeding as in Case (i) and using (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{z(I_p^\alpha f(z))'}{I_p^\alpha f(z)} - \frac{z(I_p^{\alpha+1} f(z))'}{I_p^{\alpha+1} f(z)} &= \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) z w'(z)}{\frac{\eta}{p} - \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)}, \\
(p+1) \frac{I_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{I_p^\alpha f(z)} - (p+1) \frac{I_p^\alpha f(z)}{I_p^{\alpha+1} f(z)} &= \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) z w'(z)}{\frac{\eta}{p} - \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{I_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{I_p^\alpha f(z)} = \frac{\frac{\eta}{p}}{\frac{\eta}{p} - \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)} + \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) z w'(z)}{(p+1) \left[\frac{\eta}{p} - \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)\right]},$$

$$\frac{I_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{I_p^\alpha f(z)} - 1 = \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)}{\frac{\eta}{p} - \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)} + \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) z w'(z)}{(p+1) \left[\frac{\eta}{p} - \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)\right]},$$

and

$$\frac{I_p^\alpha f(z)}{I_p^{\alpha+1} f(z)} - 1 = \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)}{\frac{\eta}{p} - \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{I_p^\alpha f(z)}{I_p^{\alpha+1} f(z)} - 1 \right|^\gamma \left| \frac{I_p^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{I_p^\alpha f(z)} - 1 \right|^\delta \\ &= \left| \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)}{\frac{\eta}{p} - \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)} \right|^\gamma \left| \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)}{\frac{\eta}{p} - \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)} + \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) z w'(z)}{(p+1) \left[\frac{\eta}{p} - \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)\right]} \right|^\delta \\ &= \left| \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)}{\frac{\eta}{p} - \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z)} \right|^{\gamma+\delta} \left| 1 + \frac{z w'(z)}{(p+1) w(z)} \right|^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that $\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1$, then by using Lemma 1, we obtain $w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$ and $z_0 w'(z_0) = \zeta w(z_0)$, $\zeta \geq 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{I_p^\alpha f(z_0)}{I_p^{\alpha+1} f(z_0)} - 1 \right|^\gamma \left| \frac{I_p^{\alpha-1} f(z_0)}{I_p^\alpha f(z_0)} - 1 \right|^\delta &= \left| \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z_0)}{\frac{\eta}{p} - \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) w(z_0)} \right|^{\gamma+\delta} \left| 1 + \frac{\zeta w(z_0)}{(p+1) w(z_0)} \right|^\delta \\ &\geq \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right)^{\gamma+\delta}}{\left| \frac{\eta}{p} - \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right) e^{i\theta} \right|} \left| 1 + \frac{\zeta}{p+1} \right|^\delta \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right)^{\gamma+\delta} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p+1}\right)^\delta \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{p}\right)^{\gamma+\delta} \left(\frac{p+2}{p+1}\right)^\delta, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (7) for $\frac{p}{2} \leq \eta < p$. Therefore, we must have $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in U$ and hence $f \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha, \eta)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Putting $p = 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies*

$$\left| \frac{I^\alpha f(z)}{I^{\alpha+1} f(z)} - 1 \right|^\gamma \left| \frac{I^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{I^\alpha f(z)} - 1 \right|^\delta < \mathcal{K}(\alpha, \eta, \delta, \gamma) \quad (z \in U), \quad (12)$$

for some real numbers η, δ and γ such that $0 \leq \eta < 1$, $\delta + \gamma \geq 0$ and $\alpha \geq 1$, then $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha, \eta)$, where $\mathcal{K}(\alpha, \eta, \delta, \gamma)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{K}(\alpha, \eta, \delta, \gamma) = \begin{cases} (1 - \eta)^\gamma \left(1 - \eta + \frac{1}{4}\right)^\delta & \left(0 \leq \eta \leq \frac{1}{2}\right), \\ (1 - \eta)^{\delta+\gamma} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^\delta & \left(\frac{1}{2} \leq \eta < 1\right). \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. K. Aouf, T. Bulboaca and A. O. Mostafa, Subordination properties of subclasses of p -valent functions involving certain integral operator, Publ. Math. Debrecen 37/3-4(2008), 401-416.
- [2] I. S. Jack, Functions starlike and convex of order α , J. London Math. Soc., 3 (1971), 469-474.
- [3] T. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim and H. M. Srivastava, The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 176 (1993), 138-147.
- [4] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Second-order differential inequalities in the complex plane, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 65(1978), 289-305.
- [5] S. Shams, S. R. Kulkarni and Jay M. Jahangir, Subordination properties for p -valent functions defined by integral operators, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. Vol. 2006, Art. ID 94572, 1-3.

T. M. SEUDY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, FAYOUM UNIVERSITY, FAYOUM 63514, EGYPT

E-mail address: tms00@fayoum.edu.eg