A NEW TECHNIQUE FOR SOLVING A CLASS OF NONLINEAR BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

I. L. EL-KALLA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, a new technique for solving a class of nonlinear Boundary Value Problems (BVPs) is introduced. The series solution obtained from this technique is satisfied by the given boundary condition at each partial sum. Based on the previous work of the author, convergence is discussed and the truncated error is estimated. The proposed technique is implemented to some problems that frequently appear in physics and engineering. The effectiveness of the proposed technique is verified through the comparison of the numerical results with those available in references.

1. INTRODUCTION

The BVPs play an important role in many fields especially in physics and engineering. The two-point boundary value problem occurs in a wide variety of problems, including the modeling of chemical reactions, heat transfer, diffusion, gas dynamics, and the solution of optimal control problems [1] and [2]. So, an accurate and fast techniques of solution are of great importance due to its wide application in scientific and engineering research [3] and [4]. Among these techniques, the series solution techniques such as Taylor method [5] and [6], homotopy analysis method (HAM) [7] and [8], homotopy perturbation method (HPM) [9] and [10] and Adomian decomposition method (ADM) [11], [12], [13] and [14]. Using these techniques, the solution is obtained by the analytic summation of the components of a convergent series. El-Kalla in [15] introduced a new expansion theorem by which the analytic summation constitutes the exact solution of some ordinary and partial differential equations. In this paper, this theorem is employed with ADM to introduce a new technique for solving the nonlinear BVP of the form

\[ \frac{d^2 y(x)}{dx^2} + p(x) \frac{dy(x)}{dx} + q(x) f(y) = r(x), \quad x \in (a, b), \] (1)

subjected to boundary conditions

\[ y(a) = \alpha, \quad y(b) = \beta, \] (2)
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where $a, b, \alpha$ and $\beta$ are finite constants. ADM has the advantage of dealing directly with the nonlinear problem avoiding any linearization, discretization or any unrealistic assumptions in which the solution is decomposed into a rapidly convergent series

$$y(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y_i(x), \quad (3)$$

and the nonlinear term $f(y)$ is replaced by a series of the Adomian polynomials

$$f(y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m(y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_m), \quad (4)$$

where the traditional formula of $A_m$ is

$$A_m = \left(\frac{1}{m!}\right)\left(\frac{d^m}{d\lambda^m}\right)[f(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i y_i)]_{\lambda=0}. \quad (5)$$

In [16], the author deduced a new mathematical formula to the Adomian polynomials which can be written in the form

$$\tilde{A}_m = f(S_m) - \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} \tilde{A}_v, \quad m \geq 1. \quad (6)$$

where the partial sum $S_m = \sum_{i=0}^{m} y_i(t)$ and $\tilde{A}_0 = f(y_0)$. Formula (6) has the advantage of absence of any derivative terms in the recursion, thereby allowing for ease of computations. Also, Formula (6) was used successfully in one dimensional problems [17] and [18] and in two dimensional problems [19] and [20] to study the convergence of ADM when applied to some classes of nonlinear equations. In this work, formula (6) is used in the convergence analysis and all the calculations of the numerical examples.

In direct application of ADM to problem (1), the inverse of the second-order differential operator is either two-fold definite or indefinite integral. If the inverse operator is defined by the indefinite integral the standard ADM yields the following recursive scheme [21]

$$y_0 = c_1^0 + c_2^0 x + \int \int r(x) \, dx \, dx,$$

$$y_i = c_1^i + c_2^i x - \int \int p(x) \frac{dy_{i-1}}{dx} \, dx \, dx - \int \int q(x) \tilde{A}_{i-1} \, dx \, dx, \quad i \geq 1, \quad (7)$$

where $c_1^0$ and $c_2^0$ are arbitrary constants that should be obtained using the boundary conditions for each partial sum $S_m$. It is difficult to obtain these arbitrary constants for each partial sum and more computational work is needed. Many authors, for example [22] and [23], have proposed modifications to ADM by defining the inverse operator to be a two-fold definite integral $\int_a^x dx_1 \int_a^{x_1} dx_2$ as in [22] or $\int_a^x dx_1 \int_a^{x_1} dx_2$ as in [23] but we still have difficulties in obtaining $\frac{dy}{dx} \bigg|_{x=a}$ in [22] and $\frac{dy}{dx} \bigg|_{x=b}$ in [23]. In the next section, a proposed technique is established to relax these difficulties and consequently to save the execution time on the processor. Each partial sum of the series solution obtained by this new technique is satisfied by the given boundary conditions without any additional work. In section three, convergence of the series solution is proved and the maximum absolute truncated error is estimated. In section four, some numerical examples, that frequently appear in physics and engineering, are introduced to verify the efficiency of the proposed technique.
2. THE PROPOSED TECHNIQUE

The proposed technique is based on the change of the canonical form (1) by introducing the differential operator

$$\mathcal{L}(\cdot) = e^{-\int p(x)dx} \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ e^{\int p(x)dx} \frac{d(\cdot)}{dx} \right\},$$

then (1) can be rewritten in the form

$$\mathcal{L}y(x) = r(x) - q(x)f(y).$$

Define the inverse of $\mathcal{L}$ to be

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\cdot) = \int_a^x e^{-\int p(\zeta)d\zeta} \int_0^x e^{\int p(\zeta)d\zeta} (\cdot)d\zeta d\zeta,$$

and apply $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ on both sides of (9) yields

$$y(x) - y(a) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \{ r(x) - q(x)f(y) \}.$$  

Application of ADM to (11) yields the recursive relation

$$y_0(x) = \alpha + \mathcal{L}^{-1}r(x),$$

$$y_i(x) = -\mathcal{L}^{-1}q(x) \tilde{A}_{i-1}, \ i \geq 1,$$

and the series solution is denoted by $y_t(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y_i(x)$ with $y_0(a) = \alpha$. Due to the nature of the Adomian series solution, $y_t(x)$ has a high accuracy near $x = a$ and some drawback near $x = b$.

If we define the inverse of the operator (8) to have the form

$$F^{-1}(\cdot) = \int_b^x e^{-\int p(\zeta)d\zeta} \int_0^x e^{\int p(\zeta)d\zeta} (\cdot)d\zeta d\zeta,$$

and then apply $F^{-1}$ to both sides of (9), we get

$$y(x) - y(b) = F^{-1} \{ r(x) - q(x)f(y) \}.$$  

Application of ADM to (14) yields the recursive relation

$$y_0(x) = \beta + F^{-1}r(x),$$

$$y_i(x) = -F^{-1}q(x) \tilde{A}_{i-1}, \ i \geq 1,$$

and in this case the series solution is denoted by $y_r(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y_i(x)$ with $y_r(b) = \beta$. Also, due to the nature of the Adomian series solution, $y_r(x)$ has a high accuracy near $x = b$ and some drawback near $x = a$.

In order to obtain solution with high accuracy in the whole interval $J = [a, b]$ and satisfied by both boundary conditions we set the solution in the form

$$y(x) = w_1(x) y_r(x) + w_2(x) y_l(x), \ a \leq x \leq b,$$

where $w_1(x)$ and $w_2(x)$ are a linear weighted functions satisfy the following:

i) $w_1(a) = 0$ and linearly increasing to $w_1(b) = 1$.

ii) $w_2(a) = 1$ and linearly decreasing to $w_2(b) = 0$.

This means that $w_1(x) = \frac{x-a}{b-a}$ and $w_2(x) = \frac{b-x}{b-a}$ and consequently we have:

i) $w_1(x) + w_2(x) = 1 \ \forall \ a \leq x \leq b$.

ii) From (16) $y(a) = y_l(a) = \alpha$, since all integrals in the left series $y_l(x)$ are from $a$ to $x$, and $y(b) = y_r(b) = \beta$, since all integrals in the right series $y_r(x)$ are from $b$ to $x$. So, $y(x)$ in (16) satisfy both boundary conditions $\forall S_m$. 


It is clear that at the mid-point \( x = (a + b)/2 \) we have \( w_1 = w_2 = 1/2 \) i.e. the solution \( y(x) \) in (16), is the average of \( y_r(x) \) and \( y_l(x) \). But, in the interval \( a < x < (a + b)/2 \) we have \( 0 < w_1(x) < w_2(x) < 1 \) so; \( y_l(x) \) contributes the majority of the solution \( y(x) \). Also, in the interval \( (a + b)/2 < x < b \) we have \( 0 < w_2(x) < w_1(x) < 1 \) so; \( y_r(x) \) contributes the majority of \( y(x) \). This implies that formula (16) represents a continuous solution to problem (1)-(2) with high accuracy in the whole interval \( J = [a, b] \) and satisfied by both boundary conditions.

Fortunately, in cases when the integrals through the use of \( \mathcal{L}^{-1} \) or \( \mathcal{F}^{-1} \) are difficult, we can simplify the computations by means of El-Kalla theorem [15] in which the integral operator \( \hat{I}(\cdot) = e^{-\int p(x)dx} \left\{ \int_0^x e^{\int p(x)dx}(\cdot) d\zeta \right\} \) can be expanded to have the form

\[
\hat{I}(\cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k p(x) \cdots \hat{I}^{(k-1)}(\cdot) \frac{d^k}{d\zeta^k} \int_0^x \hat{I}^{(k-1)}(\cdot) d\zeta,
\]

for example, the second order approximation of (17) is \( \hat{I}_2(r(x)) = \int_0^x r(\zeta)d\zeta - \int_0^x p(x) \int_0^x r(\zeta) d\zeta d\zeta \). In practice we use (17) in its truncated form

\[
\hat{I}_N(\cdot) = \sum_{k=0}^N (-1)^k p(x) \cdots \hat{I}^{(k-1)}(\cdot) \frac{d^k}{d\zeta^k} \int_0^x \hat{I}^{(k-1)}(\cdot) d\zeta.
\]

Using (18), recursive relations (12) and (15) take the new forms

\[
y_0(x) = \alpha + \int_a^x \hat{I}_N(r(\zeta)) d\zeta,
\]

\[
y_i(x) = - \int_a^x \hat{I}_N\left(q(\zeta)\hat{A}_{i-1}\right) d\zeta, \quad i \geq 1,
\]

and

\[
y_0(x) = \beta + \int_b^x \hat{I}_N(r(\zeta)) d\zeta,
\]

\[
y_i(x) = - \int_b^x \hat{I}_N\left(q(\zeta)\hat{A}_{i-1}\right) d\zeta, \quad i \geq 1,
\]

respectively.

### 3. Convergence analysis

Convergence of the Adomian series solution was studied for different problems and by many authors. In [24, 25], convergence was investigated when the method applied to a general functional equations and to specific type of equations in [26, 27]. In convergence analysis, Adomian’s polynomials play a very important role which could affect directly on the accuracy as well as the convergence rate. In our analysis we suggest an alternative approach for proving the convergence. This approach mainly depends on the accelerated formula (6). As a direct result from this approach, the maximum absolute truncated error of the series solution is estimated. In our analysis we assume that the nonlinear term \( f(y) \) is Lipschitzian with \( |f(y) - f(z)| \leq L |y - z| \) and \( |q(x)| \leq M \) where \( M \) and \( L \) are finite constants. Define a mapping \( F : E \to E \) where, \( E = (C[J], ||\cdot||) \) is the Banach space of all continuous functions on \( J \) with the norm \( \|y(x)\| = \max_{x \in J} |y(x)| \).
3.1. **Existence and Uniqueness Theorem.** Problem (1) has a unique solution whenever $0 < \phi < 1$, where $\phi = \frac{1}{2}LM (b - a)^2$.

**Proof.** Define the mapping $F : E \rightarrow E$ as, $Fy = y(a) + \mathcal{L}^{-1} \{ r(x) - q(x) f(y) \}$ and let $y$ and $z \in E$ we have

$$
\|Fy - Fz\| = \max_{y \in J} |\mathcal{L}^{-1} q(x) [f(y) - f(z)]| \\
\leq \max_{y \in J} \int_a^x \int_0^x |q(\zeta)| L |f(y) - f(z)| d\zeta d\zeta \\
\leq LM \max_{y \in J} |f(y) - f(z)| \int_a^x \int_0^x d\zeta d\zeta \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} LM (b - a)^2 \|y - z\| \\
\leq \phi \|y - z\|
$$

Under the condition $0 < \phi < 1$ the mapping $F$ is contraction therefore, by the Banach fixed-point theorem for contraction, there exist a unique solution to problem (1) and this completes the proof. 

3.2. **Convergence Theorem.** The Adomian series (3) of problem (1) converges whenever $0 < \phi < 1$ and $r(x)$ bounded.

**Proof.** Let, $S_n$ and $S_m$ be arbitrary partial sums with $n > m$. We are going to prove that $\{S_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Banach space $E$

$$
\|S_n - S_m\| = \max_{x \in J} |S_n - S_m| = \max_{x \in J} \left| \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} y_i(x) \right| \\
= \max_{x \in J} \left| \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} \mathcal{L}^{-1} q(x) \tilde{A}_{i-1} \right| = \max_{x \in J} \left| \mathcal{L}^{-1} q(x) \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \tilde{A}_i \right|.
$$

From (6) we have $\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \tilde{A}_i = f(S_{n-1}) - f(S_{m-1})$ so

$$
\|S_n - S_m\| = \max_{x \in J} |\mathcal{L}^{-1} q(x) [f(S_{n-1}) - f(S_{m-1})]| \\
\leq LM \max_{x \in J} |S_{n-1} - S_{m-1}| \int_a^x \int_0^x d\zeta d\zeta \\
\leq \phi \|S_{n-1} - S_{m-1}\|.
$$

Let, $n = m + 1$ we have

$$
\|S_{m+1} - S_m\| \leq \phi \|S_m - S_{m-1}\| \leq \phi^2 \|S_{m-1} - S_{m-2}\| \leq \cdots \leq \phi^m \|S_1 - S_0\|.
$$

Using the triangle inequality we have

$$
\|S_n - S_m\| \leq \|S_{m+1} - S_m\| + \|S_{m+2} - S_{m+1}\| + \cdots + \|S_n - S_{n-1}\| \\
\leq [\phi^m + \phi^{m+1} + \cdots + \phi^{n-1}] \|S_1 - S_0\| \\
\leq \phi^m [1 + \phi + \cdots + \phi^{n-m-1}] \|S_1 - S_0\| \\
\leq \phi^m \left[ \frac{1 - \phi^{n-m}}{1 - \phi} \right] \|y_1(x)\|.
$$
Since $0 < \phi < 1$ so, $(1 - \phi^{n-m}) < 1$ and then we have
\[
\|S_n - S_m\| \leq \frac{\phi^m}{1-\phi} \max_{x \in J} |y_1(x)|
\] (21) but $\max_{x \in J} |y_1(x)| < \infty$ (since $r(x)$ is bounded and $\alpha, \beta$ finite) and as $m \to \infty$ then $\|S_n - S_m\| \to 0$, from which we conclude that $\{S_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $E$. The same result will be obtained if we use the mapping $Fy = y(b) + F^{-1}\{r(x) - q(x)f(y)\}$. Since $\max_{x \in J} |w_1(x)| = \max_{x \in J} |w_2(x)| = 1$ and $y(x)$ is a linear combination of two convergent series then, $y(x)$ is a convergent series and this completes the proof.

3.3. Error Estimate. The maximum absolute truncation error of series (3) to problem (1) is estimated to be $\max_{x \in J} |y(x) - \sum_{i=0}^{m} y_i(x)| \leq \frac{\psi\phi^{m+1}}{L(1-\phi)}$ where, $\psi = \max_{x \in J} |f(y_0)|$.

Proof. From (21) in Theorem 2 we have $\|S_n - S_m\| \leq \frac{\phi^m}{1-\phi} \max_{x \in J} |y_1(x)|$. As $n \to \infty$ then $S_n \to y(x)$ so, $\|y(x) - S_m\| \leq \frac{\phi^m}{1-\phi} \max_{x \in J} |y_1(x)|$ i.e.
\[
\max_{x \in J} \left| y(x) - \sum_{i=0}^{m} y_i(x) \right| \leq \frac{\phi^m}{1-\phi} \max_{x \in J} |y_1(x)|.
\] (22) But, $y_1 = -L^{-1}q(x)\tilde{A}_0, \tilde{A}_0 = f(y_0)$, then, $\max_{x \in J} |y_1(x)| \leq \max_{x \in J} |f(y_0)| \frac{1}{2} M (b-a)^2 \leq \frac{\phi}{\psi}$ so, (22) will be
\[
\max_{x \in J} \left| y(x) - \sum_{i=0}^{m} y_i(x) \right| \leq \frac{\psi\phi^{m+1}}{L(1-\phi)}.
\] (23) which is the maximum absolute truncation error of the Adomian series solution of (1) and this completes the proof.

4. Applications and numerical results

In this section, we implemented the proposed technique to some singular linear and nonlinear problems that frequently appear in physics and engineering. The effectiveness of the proposed technique is verified through the comparison of the numerical results with those available in references.

**Example 1** Consider the Bessel equation of order zero [28]:
\[
y'' + \frac{1}{x} y' + y = 0, 0 < x < 1, \quad y(0) = \frac{1}{J_0(1)}, \quad y(1) = 1,
\]
with exact solution $y(x) = \frac{J_0(x)}{J_0(1)}$. In this example, $p(x) = \frac{1}{x}, q(x) = 1, r(x) = 0, \alpha = \frac{1}{J_0(1)}, \beta = 1$ and since $f(y) = y$ then $\tilde{A}_i = y_i$. In this case $y_i(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y_i$ such that:
- $y_0(x) = \frac{1}{J_0(1)} + L^{-1}(0) = \frac{1}{J_0(1)}$,
- $y_1(x) = -L^{-1}(y_{i-1}), \quad i \geq 1$, where $L^{-1}(\cdot) = \int_{0}^{x} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{x} x(\cdot) dx dx$,
- and $y_i(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y_i$ such that:
- $y_0(x) = 1 + F^{-1}(0) = 1$,
- $y_1(x) = -F^{-1}(y_{i-1}) \quad i \geq 1$, where $F^{-1}(\cdot) = \int_{1}^{x} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{x} x(\cdot) dx dx$. 


This problem was solved in [28] by an improved Adomian method (IADM). Using the MATHEMATICA package we compare the absolute errors \(|y(x) - S_5(x)|\) using the new technique with \(|y(x) - S_{12}(x)|\) using IADM in [28]. Table 1 shows that, 6-term approximation using the new technique is more accurate than 13-term approximation using IADM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Numerical results for Example 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example 2** Consider the inhomogeneous Bessel equation [28]

\[
y'' + \frac{1}{x}y' + y = 4 - 9x + x^2 - x^3, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad y(0) = y(1) = 0,
\]

with exact solution \(y(x) = x^2 - x^3\). In this example, \(p(x) = \frac{1}{x}\), \(q(x) = 1\), \(r(x) = 4 - 9x + x^2 - x^3\), \(\alpha = \beta = 0\) and since \(f(y) = y\) then \(\tilde{A}_i = y_i\). In this case \(y_i(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y_i\) such that:

\[
y_0(x) = 0 + \mathcal{L}^{-1}(4 - 9x + x^2 - x^3) = x^2 - x^3 + \frac{x^5}{16} - \frac{x^5}{25} + \ldots,
\]

\(y_i(x) = -\mathcal{L}^{-1}(y_{i-1})\), \(i \geq 1\), where \(\mathcal{L}^{-1}(.) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t x(.) \text{d}x \text{d}x\), and \(y_i(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y_i\) such that:

\[
y_0(x) = 0 + F^{-1}(4 - 9x + x^2 - x^3) = x^2 - x^3 + \frac{x^4}{16} + \frac{x^5}{25} + \frac{9}{400} + \ldots,
\]

\(y_i(x) = -F^{-1}(y_{i-1})\), \(i \geq 1\), where \(F^{-1}(.) = \int_0^x \int_0^t x(.) \text{d}x \text{d}x\).

This problem was solved in [28] by IADM. Also, we verify the high accuracy of the new technique by comparing the absolute errors \(|y(x) - S_3(x)|\) using the new technique with \(|y(x) - S_3(x)|\) using IADM in [28]. Table 2 shows that, 4-term approximation using the new technique is more accurate than 10-term approximation using IADM.
Table 2 Numerical results for Example 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$(y(x) - S_3(x))$ using IADM in [28]</th>
<th>$(y(x) - S_3(x))$ using new technique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>$2.2418E - 05$</td>
<td>$1.0110E - 08$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>$2.5259E - 05$</td>
<td>$3.3010E - 08$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$3.0855E - 05$</td>
<td>$7.4051E - 08$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>$2.4171E - 05$</td>
<td>$1.0019E - 07$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$1.6781E - 05$</td>
<td>$2.2001E - 07$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>$1.1127E - 05$</td>
<td>$5.0110E - 07$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>$7.0442E - 06$</td>
<td>$6.1097E - 07$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>$4.0377E - 06$</td>
<td>$3.0912E - 08$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>$1.7590E - 06$</td>
<td>$1.0120E - 08$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example 3** Consider the non-linear BVP [28]

$$y'' + \frac{0.5}{x} y' = e^y (0.5 - e^y) , \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad y(0) = \ln (2), \quad y(1) = 0,$$

with exact solution $y(x) = \ln \left( \frac{2}{1+x^2} \right)$. In this example, $p(x) = \frac{0.5}{x}$, $q(x) = 1$, $r(x) = 0$, $\alpha = \ln (2)$, $\beta = 0$ and $f(y) = e^y (0.5 - e^y)$. In this case $y_i(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y_i$ such that:

- $y_0(x) = \ln (2) + \mathcal{L}^{-1} (0) = \ln (2)$,
- $y_i(x) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left( \tilde{A}_{i-1} \right)$, $i \geq 1$, where $\mathcal{L}^{-1} (.) = \int_{0}^{x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{x}} (.) \, dx \, dx$,
- and $y_r(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y_i$ such that:
- $y_0(x) = 0 + \mathcal{F}^{-1} (0) = 0$,
- $y_i(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( \tilde{A}_{i-1} \right)$, $i \geq 1$, where $\mathcal{F}^{-1} (.) = \int_{1}^{x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{x}} (.) \, dx \, dx$.

In Table 3, MATHEMATICA is used to compare the absolute errors $|y(x) - S_5(x)|$ with those of Ref. [28].

Table 3 Numerical results for Example 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$(y(x) - S_5(x))$ using IADM in [28]</th>
<th>$(y(x) - S_5(x))$ using new technique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>$1.8523E - 07$</td>
<td>$1.9010E - 13$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>$3.0918E - 07$</td>
<td>$4.2110E - 13$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>$5.0820E - 07$</td>
<td>$7.9959E - 13$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>$7.5002E - 07$</td>
<td>$9.8919E - 13$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$3.6001E - 06$</td>
<td>$5.6103E - 12$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>$3.1127E - 06$</td>
<td>$7.9121E - 12$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>$7.0412E - 07$</td>
<td>$7.5040E - 12$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>$4.0349E - 07$</td>
<td>$6.9840E - 13$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>$1.7097E - 07$</td>
<td>$1.7121E - 13$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example 4** Consider the problem arising in astronomy [29]

$$y'' + \frac{2}{x} y' + y^5 = 0, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y(1) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2},$$
with exact solution \( y(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + x^2}} \). In this example, \( p(x) = \frac{2}{x}, q(x) = 1, r(x) = 0, \)
\( \alpha = 1, \beta = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \) and \( f(y) = y^3 \). In this case \( y_i(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y_i \) such that:
\[
y_0(x) = 1 + E^{-1}(0) = 1,
\]
\[
y_i(x) = E^{-1}(A_{i-1}), \quad i \geq 1, \text{ where } E^{-1}(.) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^x x^2(.) dx dx,
\]
and \( y_r(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y_i \) such that:
\[
y_0(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + F^{-1}(0) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2},
\]
\[
y_i(x) = F^{-1}(A_{i-1}), \quad i \geq 1, \text{ where } F^{-1}(.) = \int_1^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^x x^2(.) dx dx.
\]
This problem was solved in [29] using a combination of the ADM and the reproducing kernel method (RKM). In table 4, MATHEMATICA is used to compare the absolute errors \(|y(x) - S_5(x)|\) with those of Ref. [29].

| \( x \) | \(|y(x) - S_5(x)| \) in [29] | \(|y(x) - S_5(x)| \) using new technique |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0.0 | 0.0             | 0.0             |
| 0.1 | 1.556E - 09     | 7.7206E - 11    |
| 0.2 | 1.1101E - 09    | 9.9033E - 11    |
| 0.3 | 4.2003E - 08    | 4.1303E - 10    |
| 0.4 | 6.5002E - 08    | 7.0511E - 10    |
| 0.5 | 8.3011E - 08    | 9.7490E - 10    |
| 0.6 | 7.0120E - 08    | 8.9181E - 10    |
| 0.7 | 3.9492E - 08    | 3.8900E - 10    |
| 0.8 | 1.0301E - 09    | 9.3010E - 11    |
| 0.9 | 1.7123E - 09    | 6.6908E - 11    |
| 1.0 | 0.0             | 0.0             |

Also, example 4 is used to verify the validity of the convergence analysis by comparing the exact absolute error (EAE) \( \Delta = |y(x) - \sum_{i=n}^{m} y_i(x)| \) and the maximum absolute error (MAE) \( \Delta^* = \psi^{m+1}/L(1-\sigma) \), using formula (23), for different values of \( m \) in table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( m )</th>
<th>EAE (( \Delta ))</th>
<th>MAE (( \Delta^* ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.7490E - 10</td>
<td>3.9042E - 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.0032E - 14</td>
<td>1.5002E - 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.2090E - 18</td>
<td>8.0160E - 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.2030E - 21</td>
<td>3.6609E - 19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed technique is not only more accurate but also faster than the traditional techniques and consequently save the execution time on the processor. Table 6 shows the comparison between the execution time, on the same processor, of the proposed technique and those in references.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Execution time using:</th>
<th>Example 1</th>
<th>Example 2</th>
<th>Example 3</th>
<th>Example 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The proposed technique</td>
<td>34.9 sec</td>
<td>26.3 sec</td>
<td>44.7 sec</td>
<td>38.4 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Techniques in references</td>
<td>78.8 sec</td>
<td>65.8 sec</td>
<td>72.5 sec</td>
<td>66.2 sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Conclusion

ADM has difficulties when applied to nonlinear BVPs and many approaches have been presented to overcome these difficulties. The proposed technique gives an accurate and faster solution when applied to singular linear and nonlinear problems without additional computational work and this solution is satisfied by the given boundary conditions. Convergence of the series solution obtained from this technique is proved. Convergence analysis is reliable enough to obtain an explicit formula (23) to the maximum absolute truncated error of the Adomian series solution.
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