

DOMAIN OF THE DOUBLE BAND MATRIX DEFINED BY FIBONACCI NUMBERS IN THE MADDOX'S SPACE $\ell(p)^*$

HÜSAMETTİN ÇAPAN AND FEYZİ BAŞAR**

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, some algebraic and topological properties of the domain $\ell(F, p)$ of the double band matrix F defined by a sequence of Fibonacci numbers in the sequence space $\ell(p)$ are studied, where $\ell(p)$ denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $\sum_k |x_k|^{pk} < \infty$ and was defined by Maddox in [*Spaces of strongly summable sequences*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **18** (1967), 345–355]. Furthermore, the alpha-, beta- and gamma-duals of the space $\ell(F, p)$ are determined, and the Schauder basis is given. The classes of matrix transformations from the space $\ell(F, p)$ to the spaces ℓ_∞ , c and c_0 are characterized. Additionally, the characterizations of some other matrix transformations from the space $\ell(F, p)$ to the Euler, Riesz, difference, etc., sequence spaces are obtained from the main results of the paper.

1. PRELIMINARIES, BACKGROUND AND NOTATION

By ω , we denote the space of all sequences with complex terms which contains ϕ , the set of all finitely non-zero sequences, that is,

$$\omega : = \{x = (x_k) : x_k \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where \mathbb{C} denotes the complex field and $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. By a sequence space, we understand a linear subspace of the space ω . We write ℓ_∞ , c , c_0 and ℓ_p for the classical sequence spaces of all bounded, convergent, null and absolutely p -summable sequences which are the Banach spaces with the norms $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |x_k|$ and $\|x\|_p = (\sum_k |x_k|^p)^{1/p}$; respectively, where $1 \leq p < \infty$. For simplicity in notation, here and in what follows, the summation without limits runs from 0 to ∞ . Also by bs and cs , we denote the spaces of all bounded and convergent series, respectively. bv is the space consisting of all sequences (x_k) such that $(x_k - x_{k+1})$ in ℓ_1 and bv_0 is the intersection of the spaces bv and c_0 .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 46A45, Secondary: 46B45, 46A35.

Key words and phrases. Paranormed sequence space, double sequential band matrix, alpha-, beta- and gamma-duals, matrix transformations in sequence spaces.

*The main results of this paper were presented in part at the conference *Algerian-Turkish International Days on Mathematics (ATIM 2013) to be held September 12–14, 2013 in Istanbul, Turkey, at the Fatih University*.

**Corresponding author.

A linear topological space X over the real field \mathbb{R} is said to be a *paranormed space* if there is a function $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions for all $x, y \in X$:

(i) $g(x) = 0$ if $x = \theta$, (ii) $g(x) = g(-x)$, (iii) $g(x + y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$, (iv) Scalar multiplication is continuous, i.e., $|\alpha_n - \alpha| \rightarrow 0$ and $g(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ imply $g(\alpha_n x_n - \alpha x) \rightarrow 0$ for all α 's in \mathbb{R} and all x 's in X , where θ is the zero vector in the linear space X .

Assume here and after that (p_k) be a bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers with $\sup p_k = H$ and $M = \max\{1, H\}$. Then, the linear space $\ell(p)$ was defined by Maddox [1] (see also Simons [2] and Nakano [3]) as follows:

$$\ell(p) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sum_k |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty \right\}, \quad (0 < p_k \leq H < \infty)$$

which is the complete space paranormed by $g(x) = (\sum_k |x_k|^{p_k})^{1/M}$. We assume throughout that $p_k^{-1} + (p'_k)^{-1} = 1$ and denote the collection of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} by \mathcal{F} and use the convention that any term with negative subscript is equal to naught.

The alpha-, beta- and gamma-duals of a sequence space λ , which are respectively denoted by λ^α , λ^β and λ^γ , are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^\alpha &:= \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : xy = (x_k y_k) \in \ell_1 \text{ for all } y = (y_k) \in \lambda\}, \\ \lambda^\beta &:= \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : xy = (x_k y_k) \in cs \text{ for all } y = (y_k) \in \lambda\}, \\ \lambda^\gamma &:= \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : xy = (x_k y_k) \in bs \text{ for all } y = (y_k) \in \lambda\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let λ, μ be any two sequence spaces and $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of complex numbers a_{nk} , where $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, we say that A defines a *matrix transformation* from λ into μ and we denote it by writing $A : \lambda \rightarrow \mu$, if for every sequence $x = (x_k) \in \lambda$ the sequence $Ax = \{(Ax)_n\}$, the A -transform of x , is in μ ; where

$$(Ax)_n = \sum_k a_{nk} x_k \tag{1.1}$$

provided the series on the right side of (1.1) converges for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By $(\lambda : \mu)$, we denote the class of all matrices A such that $A : \lambda \rightarrow \mu$. Thus, $A \in (\lambda : \mu)$ if and only if Ax exists, i.e. $A_n \in \lambda^\beta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and is in μ for all $x \in \lambda$, where A_n denotes the sequence in the n -th row of A . This shows the importance of the beta-dual for the existence of matrix transformations on any given sequence space.

The matrix domain λ_A of an infinite matrix A in a sequence space λ is defined as the set of all sequences $x = (x_k) \in \omega$ such that Ax exists and is in the space λ , that is $\lambda_A := \{x = (x_k) \in w : Ax \in \lambda\}$. It is immediate that λ_A is a sequence space whenever λ is a sequence space and the spaces λ_A and λ are linearly isomorphic if A is triangle.

2. THE SEQUENCE SPACE $\ell(F, p)$

Consider the sequence (f_n) of Fibonacci numbers defined by the linear recurrence relations

$$f_n := \begin{cases} 1 & , \quad n = 0, 1, \\ f_{n-1} + f_{n-2} & , \quad n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Let us define the double band matrix $F = (f_{nk})$ by the sequence (f_n) , as follows:

$$f_{nk} := \begin{cases} -\frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} & , \quad k = n - 1, \\ \frac{f_n}{f_{n+1}} & , \quad k = n, \\ 0 & , \quad 0 \leq k < n - 1 \text{ or } k > n \end{cases}$$

for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The usual inverse $F^{-1} = (c_{nk})$ of the matrix F is calculated as

$$c_{nk} := \begin{cases} \frac{f_{n+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} & , \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & , \quad k > n \end{cases}$$

for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easy to show that the matrix F is neither regular nor coercive while it is conservative.

The domain $\ell(F, p)$ of the double band matrix F in the sequence space $\ell(p)$ is introduced, that is to say that

$$\ell(F, p) := \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k \right|^{p_k} < \infty \right\},$$

where $0 < p_k \leq H < \infty$. In the case $p_k = p$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the space $\ell(F, p)$ is reduced to the space $\ell_p(F)$, i.e.,

$$\ell_p(F) := \left\{ x = (x_k) \in \omega : \sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k \right|^p < \infty \right\}, \quad (p \geq 1).$$

Furthermore, the alpha-, beta- and gamma-duals of the space $\ell(F, p)$ are determined, and the Schauder basis is constructed. The classes of matrix transformations from the space $\ell(F, p)$ to the spaces ℓ_∞, c and c_0 are characterized.

Now, we define the sequence $y = (y_k)$ by the F -transform of a sequence $x = (x_k)$, i.e.,

$$y_k = (Fx)_k = -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k \tag{2.1}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. At this situation we can express x in terms of y that

$$x_k = (F^{-1}y)_k = \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{f_{k+1}^2}{f_j f_{j+1}} y_j \tag{2.2}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 2.1. $\ell(F, p)$ is a linear, complete metric space paranormed by h defined by

$$h(x) = \left(\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k \right|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $0 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. To show the linearity of the space $\ell(F, p)$ with respect to the coordinatewise addition and scalar multiplication is trivial. Firstly, we show that $\ell(F, p)$ is a paranormed space with the paranorm h defined by (2.3).

It is clear that $h(\theta) = 0$, where $\theta = (0, 0, \dots)$ and $h(x) = h(-x)$ for all $x \in \ell(F, p)$.

Let $x = (x_k), y = (y_k) \in \ell(F, p)$. Then, by Minkowski's inequality and the inequality $|a + b|^p \leq |a|^p + |b|^p$; where $0 < p \leq 1$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(x + y) &= \left[\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}(x_{k-1} + y_{k-1}) + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}(x_k + y_k) \right|^{p_k} \right]^{1/M} \\ &= \left[\sum_k \left(\left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}x_k - \frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}y_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}y_k \right|^{p_k/M} \right)^M \right]^{1/M} \\ &\leq \left[\sum_k \left(\left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}x_k \right|^{p_k/M} + \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}y_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}y_k \right|^{p_k/M} \right)^M \right]^{1/M} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}x_k \right|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} + \left(\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}y_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}y_k \right|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} \\ &= h(x) + h(y). \end{aligned}$$

Also, since the inequality $|\alpha|^{p_k} \leq \max\{1, |\alpha|^M\}$ holds for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} h(\alpha x) &= \left[\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}(\alpha x_{k-1}) + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}(\alpha x_k) \right|^{p_k} \right]^{1/M} \\ &= \left(\sum_k |\alpha|^{p_k} \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}x_k \right|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} \\ &\leq \max\{1, |\alpha|\} h(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let (α_n) be a sequence of scalars with $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $\{x^{(n)}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of elements $x^{(n)} \in \ell(F, p)$ with $h[x^{(n)} - x] \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq h[\alpha_n x^{(n)} - \alpha x] &= h[\alpha_n x^{(n)} - \alpha x^{(n)} + \alpha x^{(n)} - \alpha x] \\ &= h[(\alpha_n - \alpha)x^{(n)} + \alpha(x^{(n)} - x)] \\ &\leq h[(\alpha_n - \alpha)x^{(n)}] + h[\alpha(x^{(n)} - x)] \\ &= |\alpha_n - \alpha| h[x^{(n)}] + \max\{1, |\alpha|\} h[x^{(n)} - x]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

If we combine the facts $\alpha_n - \alpha \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $h[x^{(n)} - x] \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, with (2.4) we obtain that $h[\alpha_n x^{(n)} - \alpha x] \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is to say that the scalar multiplication is continuous. This shows that h is a paranorm on $\ell(F, p)$.

Moreover, if we assume $h(x) = 0$, then we get

$$\left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k}x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}}x_k \right| = 0$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If we put $k = 0$, since $x_{-1} = 0$ and $f_0/f_1 \neq 0$, we have $x_0 = 0$. For $k = 1$, since $x_0 = 0$ and $f_1/f_2 \neq 0$, we have $x_1 = 0$. Continuing in this way, we obtain $x_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Namely, we obtain $x = \theta = (0, 0, \dots)$. This shows that h is a total paranorm.

Now, we show that $\ell(F, p)$ is complete. Let (x^n) be any Cauchy sequence in $\ell(F, p)$; where $x^n = \{x_0^{(n)}, x_1^{(n)}, x_2^{(n)}, \dots\}$. Then, for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a

positive integer $n_0(\varepsilon)$ such that $[h(x^n - x^m)]^M < \varepsilon^M$ for all $n, m > n_0(\varepsilon)$. Since for each fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} |(Fx^n)_k - (Fx^m)_k|^{p_k} &\leq \sum_k |(Fx^n)_k - (Fx^m)_k|^{p_k} \\ &= \sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1}^{(n)} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k^{(n)} - \left[-\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1}^{(m)} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k^{(m)} \right] \right|^{p_k} \\ &= \sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} [x_{k-1}^{(n)} - x_{k-1}^{(m)}] + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} [x_k^{(n)} - x_k^{(m)}] \right|^{p_k} \\ &= [h(x^n - x^m)]^M < \varepsilon^M \end{aligned}$$

for every $n, m > n_0(\varepsilon)$, $\{(Fx^0)_k, (Fx^1)_k, (Fx^2)_k, \dots\}$ is a Cauchy sequence of real numbers for every fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since \mathbb{R} is complete, it converges, say $(Fx^n)_k \rightarrow (Fx)_k$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using these infinitely many limits $(Fx)_0, (Fx)_1, (Fx)_2, \dots$ we define the sequence $\{(Fx)_0, (Fx)_1, (Fx)_2, \dots\}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n > n_0(\varepsilon)$

$$\begin{aligned} [h(x^n - x)]^M &= \sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} [x_{k-1}^{(n)} - x_{k-1}] + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} [x_k^{(n)} - x_k] \right|^{p_k} \\ &= \sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1}^{(n)} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k^{(n)} - \left[-\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k \right] \right|^{p_k} \\ &= \sum_k |(Fx^n)_k - (Fx)_k|^{p_k} < \varepsilon^M. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $x^n - x \in \ell(F, p)$. Since $\ell(F, p)$ is a linear space, we conclude that $x \in \ell(F, p)$. It follows that $x^n \rightarrow x$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, in $\ell(F, p)$ which means that $\ell(F, p)$ is complete.

Now, one can easily check that the absolute property does not hold on the space $\ell(F, p)$, that is

$$h(x) = \left(\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k \right|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} \neq \left(\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} |x_{k-1}| + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} |x_k| \right|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} = h(|x|),$$

where $|x| = (|x_k|)$. This says that $\ell(F, p)$ is the sequence space of non-absolute type. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Convergence in $\ell(F, p)$ is strictly stronger than coordinatewise convergence, but the converse is not true, in general.*

Proof. First we show that $h(x^n - x) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implies $x_k^{(n)} \rightarrow x_k$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If we fix k , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1}^{(n)} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k^{(n)} - \left(-\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k \right) \right|^{p_k} \\ &\leq \sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1}^{(n)} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k^{(n)} - \left(-\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k \right) \right|^{p_k} \\ &= \sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} (x_{k-1}^{(n)} - x_{k-1}) + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} (x_k^{(n)} - x_k) \right|^{p_k} \\ &= [h(x^n - x)]^M. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have for $k = 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| -\frac{f_1}{f_0} x_{-1}^{(n)} + \frac{f_0}{f_1} x_0^{(n)} - \left(-\frac{f_1}{f_0} x_{-1} + \frac{f_0}{f_1} x_0 \right) \right| = 0,$$

that is, $\left| \frac{f_0}{f_1} [x_0^{(n)} - x_0] \right| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $f_0/f_1 = 1 \neq 0$, then $|x_0^{(n)} - x_0| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Likewise, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $|x_k^{(n)} - x_k| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, we show that the converse is not true in general. We assume $x_k^{(n)} \rightarrow x_k$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_k^{(n)} - x_k| < 1$ for each fixed k and for all $n \geq N$. Therefore, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq h(x^n - x) = \left[\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} (x_{k-1}^{(n)} - x_{k-1}) + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} (x_k^{(n)} - x_k) \right|^{p_k} \right]^{1/M} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_k \left[\left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} (x_{k-1}^{(n)} - x_{k-1}) + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} (x_k^{(n)} - x_k) \right|^{p_k/M} \right]^M \right\}^{1/M} \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_k \left[\left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} (x_{k-1}^{(n)} - x_{k-1}) \right|^{p_k/M} + \left| \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} (x_k^{(n)} - x_k) \right|^{p_k/M} \right]^M \right\}^{1/M} \\ &\leq \left[\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} (x_{k-1}^{(n)} - x_{k-1}) \right|^{p_k} \right]^{1/M} + \left[\sum_k \left| \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} (x_k^{(n)} - x_k) \right|^{p_k} \right]^{1/M} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} \right|^{p_k} |x_{k-1}^{(n)} - x_{k-1}|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} + \left(\sum_k \left| \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} \right|^{p_k} |x_k^{(n)} - x_k|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} \right|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} + \left(\sum_k \left| \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} \right|^{p_k} \right)^{1/M} \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

for all k and $n \geq N$. Since $|-f_{k+1}/f_k| \rightarrow 1.6$ and $|f_k/f_{k+1}| \rightarrow 0.6$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $h(x^n - x)$ in (2.5) does not converge for each fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $n \geq N$. This implies that the converse is not true. Let us consider the elements of the sequence x^n be equal, then we observe $h(x^n - x) = 0$, that is to say that coordinatwise convergence requires convergence. Hence, we can say that the converse is not true in general. \square

Definition 2.3. A sequence space λ with a linear topology is called a K -space, provided each of the maps $q_i : \lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $q_i(x) = x_i$ is continuous for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If a sequence space λ is complete and convergence in λ requires coordinatwise convergence, then λ is called FK -space. An FK -space whose topology is normable is called a BK -space.

Now, we give the followings:

Theorem 2.4. $\ell(F, p)$ is a K -space.

Proof. Firstly, we show that $q_i(x) = x_i$ is linear for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x = (x_i), y = (y_i) \in \ell(F, p)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, we get

$$q_i(x + y) = (x + y)_i = x_i + y_i = q_i(x) + q_i(y) \quad \text{and} \quad q_i(\alpha x) = (\alpha x)_i = \alpha x_i = \alpha q_i(x)$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, q_i is linear.

Now, we prove that q_i is continuous. For this, it is sufficient to show that q_i is bounded.

Let $x = (x_i) \in \ell(F, p)$ be any vector. Then, since $|q_i(x)| = |x_i|$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, one can see that

$$\|q_i\| = \sup_{x \neq \theta} \frac{|q_i(x)|}{\|x\|_{\ell(F,p)}} = \sup_{x \neq \theta} \frac{|x_i|}{\|x\|_{\ell(F,p)}} \leq \sup_{x \neq \theta} \frac{\|x\|_{\ell(F,p)}}{\|x\|_{\ell(F,p)}} = 1 < \infty,$$

i.e. q_i is bounded. Hence, q_i is a linear and continuous operator. That is to say that $\ell(F, p)$ is a K -space. \square

Theorem 2.5. $\ell(F, p)$ is an FK -space.

Proof. It is easy to see by Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 that $\ell(F, p)$ is complete sequence space and convergence requires coordinatewise convergence. Hence, $\ell(F, p)$ is an FK -space. \square

Theorem 2.6. $\ell_p(F)$ is the linear space under the coordinatewise addition and scalar multiplication which is a BK -space with the norm

$$\|x\| = \left(\sum_k \left| -\frac{f_{k+1}}{f_k} x_{k-1} + \frac{f_k}{f_{k+1}} x_k \right|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where $x = (x_k) \in \ell_p(F)$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Proof. Since the first part of the theorem is a routine verification, we omit the detail. Since ℓ_p is a BK -space with respect to its usual norm and F is a triangle matrix, Theorem 4.3.2 of Wilansky [4, p. 61] gives the fact that $\ell_p(F)$ is a BK -space, where $1 \leq p < \infty$. This completes the proof. \square

Definition 2.7. Let d be a metric on a linear space X . If algebraic operations are continuous, namely (x_n) and (y_n) are two sequences in X , and (α_n) is a sequence of scalars such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y) = 0 & \text{ implies } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n + y_n, x + y) = 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0 & \text{ implies } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\alpha_n x_n, \alpha x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

then, (X, d) is called linear metric space; (see Malkowsky and Rakočević [5]). If X is a complete linear metric space then it is called Frechet sequence space (see Wilansky [6]). Now, we may give the following:

Theorem 2.8. $\ell_p(F)$ is a Frechet space.

Proof. To avoid the repetition of the similar statements, we only show that the algebraic operations are continuous on the space $\ell_p(F)$. Let (x_n) and (y_n) be two sequences in $\ell_p(F)$, and (α_n) be a sequence of scalars such that $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$,

$d(y_n, y) \rightarrow 0$ and $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n + y_n, x + y) & (2.6) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\|x_n + y_n - (x + y)\|] \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_n - x\| + \|y_n - y\|) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\alpha_n x_n, \alpha x) & (2.7) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha_n x_n - \alpha x\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\alpha_n - \alpha)x_n + \alpha(x_n - x)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\alpha_n - \alpha| \|x_n\| + |\alpha| \|x_n - x\|) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_n - \alpha| \|x_n\| + |\alpha| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see from (2.6) and (2.7) that the algebraic operations are continuous on the linear metric space $\ell_p(F)$. Hence, $\ell_p(F)$ is a Frechet space. \square

With the notation of (2.1), the transformation T defined from $\ell(F, p)$ to $\ell(p)$ by $x \mapsto y = Tx$ is linear bijection, so we have the following:

Corollary 2.1. *The sequence space $\ell(F, p)$ of the non-absolute type is linearly paranorm isomorphic to the space $\ell(p)$, where $0 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

It is known from Theorem 2.3 of Jarrah and Malkowsky [7] that the domain λ_T of an infinite matrix $T = (t_{nk})$ in a normed sequence space λ has a basis if and only if λ has a basis, if T is a triangle. As a direct consequence of this fact, we have:

Corollary 2.2. *Let $0 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ and $\lambda_k = (Fx)_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the sequence $b^{(k)} = \{b_n^{(k)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the elements of the spaces $\ell(F, p)$ by*

$$b_n^{(k)} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{k+1}^2}{f_n f_{n+1}} & , \quad 0 \leq n \leq k, \\ 0 & , \quad n > k \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

for every fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the sequence $\{b^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a basis for the space $\ell(F, p)$ and any $x \in \ell(F, p)$ has a unique representation of the form $x = \sum_k \lambda_k b^{(k)}$.

3. THE ALPHA-, BETA- AND GAMMA-DUALS OF THE SPACE $\ell(F, p)$

Prior to giving the alpha-, beta- and gamma-duals of the space $\ell(F, p)$, we quote some required lemmas for proving our theorems.

Lemma 3.1. [8, Theorem 5.1.0] *Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix over the complex field. The following statements hold:*

- (i) *Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A \in (\ell(p) : \ell_1)$ if and only if $\sup_{N \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{n \in N} a_{nk} \right|^{p_k} < \infty$.*
- (ii) *Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A \in (\ell(p) : \ell_1)$ if and only if there exists an integer $B > 1$ such that*

$$\sup_{N \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{n \in N} a_{nk} B^{-1} \right|^{p_k} < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.2. [9, (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1] *Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix over the complex field. The following statements hold:*

(i) *Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A \in (\ell(p) : \ell_\infty)$ if and only if*

$$\sup_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{nk}|^{p_k} < \infty. \quad (3.2)$$

(ii) *Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A \in (\ell(p) : \ell_\infty)$ if and only if there exists an integer $B > 1$ such that*

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k |a_{nk} B^{-1}|^{p'_k} < \infty. \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 3.3. [9, Corollary for Theorem 1] *Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix over the complex field and $0 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A \in (\ell(p) : c)$ if and only if (3.2), (3.3) hold, and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = \beta_k \text{ for each } k \in \mathbb{N} \quad (3.4)$$

also holds.

Let us define the sets $E_1(p)$, $E_2(p)$, $E_3(p)$, $E_4(p)$ and $E_5(p)$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1(p) &:= \left\{ a = (a_k) \in \omega : \sup_{N \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{n \in N} \frac{f_{n+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_n \right|^{p_k} < \infty \right\}, \\ E_2(p) &:= \bigcup_{B > 1} \left\{ a = (a_k) \in \omega : \sup_{N \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{n \in N} \frac{f_{n+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_n B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} < \infty \right\}, \\ E_3(p) &:= \left\{ a = (a_k) \in \omega : \sup_{k, n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_j \right|^{p_k} < \infty \right\}, \\ E_4(p) &:= \left\{ a = (a_k) \in \omega : \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_j \text{ is convergent} \right\}, \\ E_5(p) &:= \bigcup_{B > 1} \left\{ a = (a_k) \in \omega : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_j B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Because of Part (i) can be established in a similar way to the proof of Part (ii), we give the proof only for Part (ii) in Theorems 3.4 and 3.5, below.

Theorem 3.4. *The following statements hold:*

(i) *Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{\ell(F, p)\}^\alpha = E_1(p)$.*

(ii) *Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{\ell(F, p)\}^\alpha = E_2(p)$.*

Proof. Let us take any $a = (a_n) \in \omega$. By using (2.2), we obtain that

$$a_n x_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f_{n+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_n y_k = (Ey)_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3.5)$$

where $E = (e_{nk})$ is defined by $e_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{n+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_n & , \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & , \quad k > n \end{cases}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thus, we observe by combining (3.5) with the condition (3.1) of Part (ii) of Lemma 3.1 that $ax = (a_n x_n) \in \ell_1$ whenever $x = (x_k) \in \ell(F, p)$ if and only if $Ey \in \ell_1$ whenever $y = (y_k) \in \ell(p)$. This leads to the fact that $\{\ell(F, p)\}^\alpha = E_2(p)$, as asserted. \square

Theorem 3.5. *The following statements hold:*

- (i) *Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{\ell(F, p)\}^\beta = E_3(p) \cap E_4(p)$.*
- (ii) *Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{\ell(F, p)\}^\beta = E_4(p) \cap E_5(p)$.*

Proof. Take any $a = (a_j) \in \omega$. Then, one can obtain by (2.2) that

$$\sum_{j=0}^n a_j x_j = \sum_{j=0}^n \left(\sum_{k=0}^j \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} y_k \right) a_j = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\sum_{j=k}^n \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_j \right) y_k = (Dy)_n \quad (3.6)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $D = (d_{nk})$ is defined by

$$d_{nk} = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_j & , \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & , \quad k > n \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, we deduce from Lemma 3.3 with (3.6) that $ax = (a_j x_j) \in cs$ whenever $x = (x_j) \in \ell(F, p)$ if and only if $Dy \in c$ whenever $y = (y_k) \in \ell(p)$. Therefore, we derive from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_j B^{-1} \right|^{p_k'} < \infty, \quad \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_j < \infty.$$

This shows that $\{\ell(F, p)\}^\alpha = E_4(p) \cap E_5(p)$. \square

Theorem 3.6. *The following statements hold:*

- (i) *Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{\ell(F, p)\}^\gamma = E_3(p)$.*
- (ii) *Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{\ell(F, p)\}^\gamma = E_5(p)$.*

Proof. From Lemma 3.2 and (3.6), we obtain that $ax = (a_j x_j) \in bs$ whenever $x = (x_j) \in \ell(F, p)$ if and only if $Dy \in \ell_\infty$ whenever $y = (y_k) \in \ell(p)$, where $D = (d_{nk})$ is defined by (3.7). Therefore we obtain from (3.2) and (3.3) that $\{\ell(F, p)\}^\gamma = \begin{cases} E_3(p) & , \quad p_k \leq 1, \\ E_5(p) & , \quad p_k > 1 \end{cases}$, as desired. \square

4. MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS ON THE SPACE $\ell(F, p)$

In this section, we characterize some matrix transformations on the space $\ell(F, p)$. Since the cases $0 < p_k \leq 1$ and $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ are combined, Theorem 4.1 gives the exact conditions of the general case $0 < p_k \leq H < \infty$. We consider only the case $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ and omit the proof of the case $0 < p_k \leq 1$, since it can be proved in a similar way.

Theorem 4.1. *The following statements hold:*

(i) Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : \ell_\infty)$ if and only if

$$\sup_{k, n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} \right|^{p_k} < \infty, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} < \infty. \tag{4.2}$$

(ii) Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : \ell_\infty)$ if and only if (4.2) holds and there exists an integer $B > 1$ such that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} B^{-1} \right|^{p_k} < \infty. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. Let $A \in (\ell(F, p) : \ell_\infty)$ and $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, Ax exists for every $x \in \ell(F, p)$ and this implies that $A_n \in \{\ell(F, p)\}^\beta$ for each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, the necessities of (4.2) and (4.3) are immediate.

Conversely, suppose that the conditions (4.2) and (4.3) hold, and take any $x \in \ell(F, p)$. Since $A_n \in \{\ell(F, p)\}^\beta$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the A -transform of x exists. By using (2.2), we obtain that

$$\sum_{j=0}^m a_{nj} x_j = \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} y_k a_{nj} = \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{j=k}^m \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} y_k \tag{4.4}$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking into account the hypothesis, we drive from (4.4), as $m \rightarrow \infty$ that

$$\sum_j a_{nj} x_j = \sum_k \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} y_k \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.5}$$

By combining (4.5) and the inequality which holds for any complex numbers a, b and any $B > 0$

$$|ab| \leq B \left(|aB^{-1}|^{p'} + |b|^p \right),$$

where $p > 1$ and $p^{-1} + p'^{-1} = 1$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_j a_{nj} x_j \right| &= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_k \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} y_k \right| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} y_k \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k B \left(\left| \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} B^{-1} \right|^{p_k'} + |y_k|^{p_k} \right) \\ &= B \left(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} B^{-1} \right|^{p_k'} + \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k |y_k|^{p_k} \right) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $Ax \in \ell_\infty$. □

Theorem 4.2. *The following statements hold:*

- (i) *Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : c)$ if and only if (4.1) and (4.2) hold, and there is a sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_k)$ of scalars such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} = \alpha_k \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.6)$$

- (ii) *Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : c)$ if and only if (4.2), (4.3) and (4.6) hold.*

Proof. Let $A \in (\ell(F, p) : c)$ and $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, since the inclusion $c \subset \ell_\infty$ holds, the necessities of (4.2) and (4.3) are immediately obtained from Theorem 4.1.

To prove the necessity of (4.6), consider the sequence $b^{(k)}$ defined by (2.8), which belongs to the space $\ell(F, p)$ for every fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the A -transform of every $x \in \ell(F, p)$ exists and is in c by the hypothesis, we have

$$Ab^{(k)} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{ij} b_j^{(k)} \right)_{i=0}^{\infty} = \left(\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{ij} \right)_{i=0}^{\infty} \in c$$

for every fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which shows the necessity (4.6).

Conversely, suppose that the conditions (4.2), (4.3) and (4.6) hold, and take any $x = (x_k)$ in the space $\ell(F, p)$. Then, Ax exists.

We observe for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \left| \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} < \infty$$

which gives the fact by letting $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ with (4.3) and (4.6)

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^m \left| \sum_{j=k}^n \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} a_{nj} B^{-1} \right|^{p'_k} < \infty.$$

This shows that $\sum_k |\alpha_k B^{-1}|^{p'_k} < \infty$ and $(\alpha_k) \in \{\ell(F, p)\}^\beta$ which implies that the series $\sum_k \alpha_k x_k$ converges for all $x \in \ell(F, p)$.

Now, let us consider the equality obtained from (4.5) with $a_{nj} - \alpha_j$ instead of a_{nj}

$$\sum_j (a_{nj} - \alpha_j) x_j = \sum_k \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} (a_{nj} - \alpha_j) y_k = \sum_k c_{nk} y_k, \quad (4.7)$$

where $C = (c_{nk})$ defined by $c_{nk} = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{f_{j+1}^2}{f_k f_{k+1}} (a_{nj} - \alpha_j)$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. From Lemma 3.3, $c_{nk} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we see by (4.7) that $\sum_k (a_{nk} - \alpha_k) x_k \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This means that $Ax \in c$ whenever $x \in \ell(F, p)$ and this step completes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.3. *The following statements hold:*

- (i) *Let $0 < p_k \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : c_0)$ if and only if (4.1) and (4.2) hold, and (4.6) also holds with $\alpha_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

- (ii) Let $1 < p_k \leq H < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $A = (a_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : c_0)$ if and only if (4.2) and (4.3) hold, and (4.6) also holds with $\alpha_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, we can give the following lemma which is useful for deriving the characterization of the classes of matrix transformations from the space $\ell(F, p)$ to the space λ_A , where $\lambda \in \{\ell_\infty, c, c_0\}$ and $A \in \{\Delta, E^r, C_1, R^t, \Sigma, F\}$.

Lemma 4.1. [10, Lemma 5.3] *Let λ, μ be any two sequence spaces, A be an infinite matrix and B be a triangle matrix. Then, $A \in (\lambda : \mu_B)$ if and only if $BA \in (\lambda : \mu)$.*

Lemma 4.1 has several consequences depending on the choice of the space μ . Indeed, combining Lemma 4.1 with Theorems 4.1, 4.2 and Corollary 4.3, one can obtain the following results:

Corollary 4.2. *Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of complex terms. Then, the following statements hold:*

- (i) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : bv_\infty)$ if and only if (4.1)-(4.3) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = e_{nk} - e_{n-1, k}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and bv_∞ denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $(x_k - x_{k-1}) \in \ell_\infty$, and was introduced by Başar and Altay [10].
- (ii) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : e_\infty^r)$ if and only if (4.1)-(4.3) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} , where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (1-r)^{n-j} r^j e_{jk}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and e_∞^r denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $E^r x \in \ell_\infty$, and was introduced by Altay, Başar and Mursaleen [11].
- (iii) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : X_\infty)$ if and only if (4.1)-(4.3) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n e_{jk} / (n+1)$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X_∞ denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $C_1 x \in \ell_\infty$, and was introduced by Ng and Lee [12].
- (iv) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : r_\infty^t)$ if and only if (4.1)-(4.3) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n t_j e_{jk} / T_n$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and r_∞^t denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $R^t x \in \ell_\infty$, and was introduced by Altay and Başar [13].
- (v) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : bs)$ if and only if (4.1)-(4.3) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} , where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n e_{jk}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (vi) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : \ell_\infty(\widehat{F}))$ if and only if (4.1)-(4.3) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} , where $d_{nk} = -\frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} e_{n-1, k} + \frac{f_n}{f_{n+1}} e_{nk}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell_\infty(\widehat{F})$ denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $Fx \in \ell_\infty$, and was introduced by Kara [14].

Corollary 4.3. *Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of complex terms. Then, the following statements hold:*

- (i) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : c(\Delta))$ if and only if (4.1)-(4.3) and (4.6) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = e_{nk} - e_{n+1, k}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c(\Delta)$ denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $(x_k - x_{k+1}) \in c$, and was introduced by Kızmaz [15].
- (ii) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : e_c^r)$ if and only if (4.1)-(4.3) and (4.6) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} , where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (1-r)^{n-j} r^j e_{jk}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and e_c^r denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $E^r x \in c$, and was introduced by Altay and Başar [16].

- (iii) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : \tilde{c})$ if and only if (4.1)-(4.3) and (4.6) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n e_{jk}/(n+1)$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and \tilde{c} denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $C_1x \in c$, and was introduced by Şengönül and Başar [17].
- (iv) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : r_c^t)$ if and only if (4.1)-(4.3) and (4.6) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n t_j e_{jk}/T_n$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and r_c^t denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $R^t x \in c$, and was introduced by Altay and Başar [18].
- (v) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : c(\hat{F}))$ if and only if (4.1)-(4.3) and (4.6) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = -\frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} e_{n-1,k} + \frac{f_n}{f_{n+1}} e_{nk}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c(\hat{F})$ denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $Fx \in c$, and was introduced by Başarır et al. [19].
- (vi) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : cs)$ if and only if (4.1)-(4.3) and (4.6) hold with d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n e_{jk}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 4.4. Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of complex terms. Then, the following statements hold:

- (i) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : c_0(\Delta))$ if and only if (4.1)-(4.3) hold and (4.6) also holds with $\alpha_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = e_{nk} - e_{n+1,k}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_0(\Delta)$ denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $(x_k - x_{k+1}) \in c_0$, and was introduced by Kızmaz [15].
- (ii) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : e_0^r)$ if and only if (4.1)-(4.3) hold and (4.6) also holds with $\alpha_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} , where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (1-r)^{n-j} r^j e_{jk}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and e_0^r denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $E^r x \in c_0$, and was introduced by Altay and Başar [16].
- (iii) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : \tilde{c}_0)$ if and only if (4.1)-(4.3) hold and (4.6) also holds with $\alpha_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n e_{jk}/(n+1)$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and \tilde{c}_0 denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $C_1x \in c_0$, and was introduced by Şengönül and Başar [17].
- (iv) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : r_0^t)$ if and only if (4.1)-(4.3) hold and (4.6) also holds with $\alpha_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n t_j e_{jk}/T_n$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and r_0^t denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $R^t x \in c_0$, and was introduced by Altay and Başar [18].
- (v) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : c_0(\hat{F}))$ if and only if (4.1)-(4.3) hold and (4.6) also holds with $\alpha_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = -\frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} e_{n-1,k} + \frac{f_n}{f_{n+1}} e_{nk}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_0(\hat{F})$ denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $Fx \in c_0$, and was introduced by Başarır et al. [19].
- (vi) $E = (e_{nk}) \in (\ell(F, p) : c_0s)$ if and only if (4.1)-(4.3) hold and (4.6) also holds with $\alpha_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and d_{nk} instead of a_{nk} ; where $d_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^n e_{jk}$ for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and c_0s denotes the space of all sequences $x = (x_k)$ such that $\sum_k x_k = 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] I.J. Maddox, *Spaces of strongly summable sequences*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **18** (1967), 345–355.
- [2] S. Simons, *The sequence spaces $\ell(p_\nu)$ and $m(p_\nu)$* , Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **15** (1965), 422–436.
- [3] H. Nakano, *Modulated sequence spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. **27** (2) (1951), 508–512.
- [4] A. Wilansky, *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies **85**, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1984.
- [5] E. Malkowsky, V. Rakočević, *An Introduction into the Theory of Sequence Spaces and Measures of Noncompactness*, Zbornik Radova, Matematički Institut SANU, Belgrade, **9** (17) (2000), 143–234.
- [6] A. Wilansky, *Functional Analysis*, Blaisdell Publishing Company, New York-Toronto-London, 1964.
- [7] A. Jarrah, E. Malkowsky, *BK spaces, bases and linear operators*, Rendiconti Circ. Mat. Palermo II **52** (1990), 177–191.
- [8] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, *Matrix transformations between the sequence spaces of Maddox*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **180** (1993), 223–238.
- [9] C.G. Lascarides, I.J. Maddox, *Matrix transformations between some classes of sequences*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **68** (1970), 99–104.
- [10] F. Başar, B. Altay, *On the space of sequences of p -bounded variation and related matrix mappings*, Ukrainian Math. J. **55** (1) (2003), 136–147.
- [11] B. Altay, F. Başar, M. Mursaleen, *On the Euler sequence spaces which include the spaces ℓ_p and ℓ_∞* , Inform. Sci. **176** (10) (2006), 1450–1462.
- [12] P.-N. Ng, P.-Y. Lee, *Cesàro sequence spaces of non-absolute type*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat. **20** (2) (1978), 429–433.
- [13] B. Altay, F. Başar, *On the Paranormed Riesz sequence spaces of non-absolute type*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **26**(5)(2002), 701–715.
- [14] E.E. Kara, *Some topological and geometrical properties of new Banach sequence spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2013**, 15 pages, 2013. doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-38.
- [15] H. Kizmaz, *On certain sequence spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. **24** (2) (1981), 169–176.
- [16] B. Altay, F. Başar, *Some Euler sequence spaces of non-absolute type*, Ukrainian Math. J. **57** (1) (2005), 1–17.
- [17] M. Şengönül, F. Başar, *Some new Cesàro sequence spaces of non-absolute type which include the spaces c_0 and c* , Soochow J. Math. **31** (1) (2005), 107–119.
- [18] B. Altay, F. Başar, *Some paranormed Riesz sequence spaces of non-absolute type*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **30** (5) (2006), 591–608.
- [19] M. Başarır, F. Başar, E.E. Kara, *On the spaces of Fibonacci difference null and convergent sequences*, arXiv:1309.0150v1 [math.FA], (2013).

(H. Çapan) THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCES AND ENGINEERING, FATİH UNIVERSITY, THE HADIMKÖY CAMPUS, BÜYÜKÇEKMECE, 34500-İSTANBUL, TURKEY
E-mail address: husamettincapan@gmail.com

(F. Başar) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES, FATİH UNIVERSITY, THE HADIMKÖY CAMPUS, BÜYÜKÇEKMECE, 34500-İSTANBUL, TURKEY
E-mail address: fbasar@fatih.edu.tr, feyzibasara@gmail.com