

**CERTAIN SUBCLASS OF p -VALENT MEROMORPHIC
 FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH LIU-SRIVASTAVA OPERATOR**

A. O. MOSTAFA, M. K. AOUF, A. A. HUSSAIN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the class $\Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \gamma, \varrho, \beta)$ of meromorphic p -valent functions of order $\gamma (0 \leq \gamma < p)$ and types β in the punctured unit disc \mathbb{U}^* which are defined by making use of Liu-Srivastava operator. We investigate various properties and characteristics of this class.

1. INTRODUCTION

The class of meromorphic functions which are analytic and p -valent in $\mathbb{U}^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\} = \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}$ and has the form:

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-p} z^{n-p} \quad (p, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}, p < n), \quad (1)$$

is denoted by Σ_p . For the function $f(z)$ in this form and $g(z) \in \Sigma_p$ given by

$$g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n-p} z^{n-p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (2)$$

the Hadamard products (or convolution) of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is given by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-p} b_{n-p} z^{n-p} = (g * f)(z). \quad (3)$$

For complex numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s$ ($\beta_j \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}; j = 1, 2, \dots, s$), Liu and Srivastava [8] considered the linear operator

$$M_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1) f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n \dots (\alpha_q)_n}{(\beta_1)_n \dots (\beta_s)_n} \frac{a_{n-p}}{n!} z^{n-p}, \quad (4)$$

where $(\theta)_\mu$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(\theta)_\mu = \frac{\Gamma(\theta + \mu)}{\Gamma(\theta)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (\mu = 0; \theta \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \\ \theta(\theta + 1) \dots (\theta + \mu - 1), & (\mu \in \mathbb{N}; \theta \in \mathbb{C}). \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Analytic, p -valent meromorphic functions, Hadamard products.

Submitted Dec. 14, 2015.

For fixed parameters $(0 \leq \gamma < p, 0 < \beta \leq 1, \frac{1}{2} \leq \varrho \leq 1$ and $p \in \mathbb{N})$, we say that a function $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ is in the class $\Lambda_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1; \gamma, \varrho, \beta)$ if it also satisfies:

$$\left| \frac{z^{p+1}(M_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))' + p}{(2\varrho - 1)z^{p+1}(M_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))' + (2\varrho\gamma - p)} \right| < \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*). \tag{6}$$

Let Σ_p^* be the class of missing functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}; z \in \mathbb{U}^*). \tag{7}$$

Furthermore we say that a function $f(z) \in \Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \gamma, \varrho, \beta)$ when $f(z)$ is of the form (7) and satisfies (6).

We note that:

$$\Lambda_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1; \gamma, 1, 1) = \Sigma_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; 1, -1, \lambda) \text{ (see Aouf [3]).}$$

For more details of meromorphic multivalent functions see ([1],[2],[4],[5],[6],[7],[9],[10]).

In this paper we investigate various important properties and characteristics of the class $\Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \gamma, \varrho, \beta)$.

2. PROPERTIES OF THE CLASS $\Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \gamma, \varrho, \beta)$

In the reminder of this paper we assume that: $\alpha_j > 0(j = 1, \dots, q), \beta_j > 0(j = 1, \dots, s), 0 \leq \gamma < p, 0 < \beta \leq 1, \frac{1}{2} \leq \varrho \leq 1, p \in \mathbb{N}, z \in \mathbb{U}^*$.

Theorem 1. *The function $f(z) \in \Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \gamma, \varrho, \beta)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1) |a_n| \leq 2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma), \tag{8}$$

where for convenience

$$\Gamma_c(\alpha_1) = \frac{(\alpha_1)_c \dots (\alpha_q)_c}{(\beta_1)_c \dots (\beta_s)_c c!}. \tag{9}$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \gamma, \varrho, \beta)$. Then from (6) and (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{z^{p+1}(M_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))' + p}{(2\varrho - 1)z^{p+1}(M_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))' + (2\varrho\gamma - p)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1) |a_n| z^{n+p}}{2\varrho(p - \gamma) - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n(2\varrho - 1)\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1) |a_n| z^{n+p}} \right| < \beta. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Since $Re(z) \leq |z| (z \in \mathbb{C})$, choosing z to be real and letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$, then (10) yields

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1) |a_n| \leq 2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma) - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n\beta(2\varrho - 1)\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1) |a_n|, \tag{11}$$

which leads to (8).

In order to prove the converse, we assume that the inequality (8) holds. Then , if we let $z \in \partial\mathbb{U}$, we find from (7) and (8) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{z^{p+1}(M_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))' + p}{(2\varrho - 1)z^{p+1}(M_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))' + (2\varrho\gamma - p)} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1) |a_n| |z|^{n+p}}{2\varrho(p - \gamma) - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n(2\varrho - 1)\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1) |a_n| |z|^{n+p}} \\ & < \beta(z \in \partial\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| = 1\}). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Hence , by the maximum modulus theorem , we have $f(z) \in \Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \gamma, \varrho, \beta)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Corollary 2. *If $f(z) \in \Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \gamma, \varrho, \beta)$, then*

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)}{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)} \quad (n \geq p). \quad (13)$$

The result is sharp for f given by

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \frac{2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)}{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)} z^n \quad (n \geq p). \quad (14)$$

Next we prove the growth and distortion properties for the class $\Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \gamma, \varrho, \beta)$.

Theorem 3. *If a function $f(z) \in \Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \gamma, \varrho, \beta)$ and the sequence $\{D_n\}$ is nondecreasing, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \frac{p!}{(p-m)!} \cdot \frac{(p-\gamma)r^{2p}}{D_p} \right) r^{-(p+m)} \\ & \leq |f^{(m)}(z)| \leq \left(\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} + \frac{p!}{(p-m)!} \cdot \frac{p-\gamma}{D_p} r^{2p} \right) r^{-(p+m)} \\ & \quad (0 < |z| = r < 1; m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; p \in \mathbb{N}; p > m), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where

$$D_n = n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1). \quad (16)$$

Equality holds for

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \frac{2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)}{p[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{2p}(\alpha_1)} z^p. \quad (17)$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 1, we have

$$\frac{D_p}{p!} \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n! |a_n| \leq \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} D_n |a_n| \leq p - \gamma,$$

which yields

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n! |a_n| \leq \frac{2p!\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)}{D_p}. \quad (18)$$

Now, differentiating both sides of (7) m -times with respect to z , we have

$$f^{(m)}(z) = (-1)^{(m)} \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} z^{-(p+m)} + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{(n-m)!} |a_n| z^{n-m}, \quad (19)$$

$$(m \in \mathbb{N}_0 : p \in \mathbb{N}; p > m).$$

From (18) and (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{(m)}(z)| &\leq \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} r^{-(p+m)} + r^{p-m} \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{(n-m)!} |a_n| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} + \frac{p!}{(p-m)!} \cdot \frac{p-\gamma}{D_p} r^{2p} \right) r^{-(p+m)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{(m)}(z)| &\geq \frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} r^{-(p+m)} - r^{p-m} \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{(n-m)!} |a_n| \\ &\geq \left(\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \frac{p!}{(p-m)!} \cdot \frac{p-\gamma}{D_p} r^{2p} \right) r^{-(p+m)} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3. □

Theorem 4. Let $f(z) \in \Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \gamma, \varrho, \beta)$. Then

(i) $f(z)$ is meromorphically p -valent starlike of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < p$) in the disk $|z| < r_1$, where

$$r_1 = \inf_{n \geq p} \left\{ \frac{n(p-\delta)[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p-\gamma)[(p+n) + (p-\delta)]} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n+p}}, \tag{20}$$

(ii) $f(z)$ is meromorphically p -valent convex of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < p$) in the disk $|z| < r_2$, where

$$r_2 = \inf_{n \geq p} \left\{ \frac{(p-\delta)[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p-\gamma)[(p+n) + (p-\delta)]} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n+p}}. \tag{21}$$

Each of these results is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by (14).

Proof. (i) From (7), we easily get

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + p \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (p+n) |a_n| z^{n+p}}{1 - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |a_n| z^{n+p}}. \tag{22}$$

Thus,

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + p \right| \leq p - \delta \quad (0 \leq \delta < p) \tag{23}$$

if

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n) + (p-\delta)}{(p-\delta)} |a_n| |z|^{n+p} \leq 1. \tag{24}$$

Hence, by Theorem 1, (14) will be true if

$$\frac{(p+n) + (p-\delta)}{(p-\delta)} |z|^{n+p} \leq \frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p-\gamma)}, \tag{25}$$

that is if

$$|z|^{n+p} \leq \frac{n(p-\delta)[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p-\gamma)[(p+n) + (p-\delta)]}.$$

(ii) Also from (7), we have

$$\left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + p \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n(p+n) |a_n| z^{n+p}}{1 - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n |a_n| z^{n+p}} \quad (26)$$

Thus,

$$\left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + p \right| \leq p - \delta (0 \leq \delta < p) \quad (27)$$

if

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{n[(p+n) + (p-\delta)]}{(p-\delta)} |a_n| |z|^{n+p} \leq 1. \quad (28)$$

Hence, by Theorem 1, (28) will be true if

$$\frac{n[(p+n) + (p-\delta)]}{(p-\delta)} |z|^{n+p} \leq \frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p-\gamma)}, \quad (29)$$

the proof of Theorem 4 is completed by merely verifying that each assertion is sharp for the function given by (14). \square

For functions

$$f_i(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |a_{n,i}| z^n \quad (i = 1, 2; p \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (30)$$

the Hadamard products (or convolution) of functions $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$, is given by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}| z^n. \quad (31)$$

Theorem 5. Let $f_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2$) $\in \Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \gamma, \varrho, \beta)$, where $f_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are in the form (30). Then $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in \Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \delta, \varrho, \beta)$, where

$$\delta = p - \frac{2\beta\varrho(p-\gamma)^2}{p[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{2p}(\alpha_1)}. \quad (32)$$

Sharpness holds for functions

$$f_i(z) = z^{-p} + \frac{2\beta\varrho(p-\gamma)}{p[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{2p}(\alpha_1)} z^p \quad (i = 1, 2; p \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (33)$$

Proof. Using the technique for analytic functions, we need to find the largest real parameter δ such that

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p-\delta)} |a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}| \leq 1. \quad (34)$$

Since $f_i(z) \in \Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \gamma, \kappa, \beta)$ ($i = 1, 2$), we have

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p-\gamma)} |a_{n,1}| \leq 1 \quad (35)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p-\gamma)} |a_{n,2}| \leq 1. \quad (36)$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)} \sqrt{|a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}|} \leq 1. \tag{37}$$

thus, it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p - \delta)} |a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}| \leq \frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)} \sqrt{|a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}|} \tag{38}$$

or, equivalently, that

$$\sqrt{|a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}|} \leq \frac{(p - \delta)}{(p - \gamma)}. \tag{39}$$

Hence, in the light of the inequality (37), it is sufficient to prove that

$$\frac{2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)}{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)} \leq \frac{(p - \delta)}{(p - \gamma)}. \tag{40}$$

It follows from (40) that

$$\delta \leq p - \frac{2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)^2}{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}. \tag{41}$$

Let

$$M(n) = p - \frac{2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)^2}{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}, \tag{42}$$

then $M(n)$ is increasing function of $n(n \geq p)$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\delta \leq M(p) = p - \frac{2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)^2}{p[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{2p}(\alpha_1)} \tag{43}$$

and hence the proof of Theorem 5 is completed. □

Theorem 6. Let $f_1(z) \in \Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \gamma, \varrho, \beta)$ and $f_2(z) \in \Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \lambda, \varrho, \beta)$, where $f_i(z)(i = 1, 2)$ are in the form (31). Then $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in \Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \zeta, \varrho, \beta)$, where

$$\zeta = p - \frac{2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)(p - \lambda)}{p[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{2p}(\alpha_1)}. \tag{44}$$

Sharpness holds for

$$f_1(z) = z^{-p} + \frac{2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)}{p[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{2p}(\alpha_1)} z^p \tag{45}$$

and

$$f_2(z) = z^{-p} + \frac{2\beta\varrho(p - \lambda)}{p[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{2p}(\alpha_1)} z^p. \tag{46}$$

Proof. We need to find the largest real parameter ξ such that

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p - \xi)} |a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}| \leq 1. \tag{47}$$

Since $f_1(z) \in \Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \gamma, \varrho, \beta)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)} |a_{n,1}| \leq 1 \tag{48}$$

and $f_2(z) \in \Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \lambda, \varrho, \beta)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p - \lambda)} |a_{n,2}| \leq 1. \quad (49)$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho\sqrt{p - \gamma}\sqrt{p - \lambda}} \sqrt{|a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}|} \leq 1, \quad (50)$$

thus it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p - \xi)} |a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}| \leq \frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho\sqrt{p - \gamma}\sqrt{p - \lambda}} \sqrt{|a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}|} \quad (51)$$

or, equivalently, that

$$\sqrt{|a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}|} \leq \frac{(p - \xi)}{\sqrt{p - \gamma}\sqrt{p - \lambda}}. \quad (52)$$

Hence, in the light of the inequality (50), it is sufficient to prove that

$$\frac{2\beta\varrho\sqrt{p - \gamma}\sqrt{p - \lambda}}{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)} \leq \frac{(p - \xi)}{\sqrt{p - \gamma}\sqrt{p - \lambda}}. \quad (53)$$

It follows from (53) that

$$\xi \leq p - \frac{2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)(p - \lambda)}{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}. \quad (54)$$

Let

$$A(n) = p - \frac{2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)(p - \lambda)}{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}, \quad (55)$$

then $A(n)$ is increasing function of $n(n \geq p)$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\xi \leq A(p) = p - \frac{2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)(p - \lambda)}{p[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{2p}(\alpha_1)} \quad (56)$$

and hence the proof of Theorem 6 is completed. \square

Theorem 7. Let $f_i(z)(i = 1, 2) \in \Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \alpha, \varrho, \beta)$, where $f_i(z)(i = 1, 2)$ are in the form (31). Then

$$h(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (|a_{n,1}|^2 + |a_{n,2}|^2) z^n \quad (57)$$

belongs to the class $\Lambda_{p,q,s}^+(\alpha_1; \varphi, \varrho, \beta)$, where

$$\varphi = p - \frac{4\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)^2}{p[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{2p}(\alpha_1)}. \quad (58)$$

Sharpness holds for $f_i(z)(i = 1, 2)$ defined by (33).

Proof. By using Theorem 5, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)} \right\}^2 |a_{n,1}|^2 \leq \left\{ \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)} |a_{n,1}| \right\}^2 \leq 1, \quad (59)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)} \right\} |a_{n,2}|^2 \leq \left\{ \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)} |a_{n,2}| \right\}^2 \leq 1. \quad (60)$$

It follows from (59) and (60) that

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{1}{8} \left\{ \frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)} \right\}^2 (|a_{n,1}|^2 + |a_{n,2}|^2) \leq 1. \quad (61)$$

Therefore, we need to find the largest φ such that

$$\frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{2\beta\varrho(p - \varphi)} \leq \frac{1}{8} \left\{ \frac{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}{\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)} \right\}^2 \quad (62)$$

that is

$$\varphi \leq p - \frac{4\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)^2}{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}. \quad (63)$$

Let

$$H(n) = p - \frac{4\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)^2}{n[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{n+p}(\alpha_1)}, \quad (64)$$

then $H(n)$ is increasing function of n ($n \geq p$). Therefore, we conclude that

$$\varphi \leq H(p) = p - \frac{4\beta\varrho(p - \gamma)^2}{p[1 + \beta(2\varrho - 1)]\Gamma_{2p}(\alpha_1)} \quad (65)$$

and hence the proof of Theorem 7 is completed. \square

Remark 8. . Putting $\varrho = 1$ in our results we obtain the results obtained by Aouf [3, with $A = 1$ and $B = -1$].

REFERENCES

- [1] M. K. Aouf, A generalization of meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients, *Math. Japon.*, 35 (1990), 609-614.
- [2] M. K. Aouf, On a class of meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients, *Math. Japon.*, 35 (1990), 603-608.
- [3] M. K. Aouf, Certain subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with generalized hypergeometric function, *Comput., Math. Appl.*, 55 (2008), 494-509.
- [4] M. K. Aouf, The generalized hypergeometric function and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions, *J. Math. Ineq.*, 3 (2009), no. 1, 43-62.
- [5] M. K. Aouf and H. M. Hossen, New criteria for meromorphic p -valent starlike functions, *Tsukuba J. Math.*, 17 (1993), 481-486.
- [6] M. K. Aouf, H. M. Hossen and H. E. Elattar, A certain class of meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients with fixed second coefficients, *Punjab Univ. J. Math.*, 33 (2000), 115-124.
- [7] S. B. Joshi and M. K. Aouf, Meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients and fixed second coefficients, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 35 (1995), 163-169.A
- [8] J. L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, Class of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with generalized hypergeometric function, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 39 (2004), 21-34.
- [9] S. Owa, H. E. Darwish and M. K. Aouf, Meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients and fixed second coefficients, *Math. Japon.*, 46 (1997), no. 2, 231-236.
- [10] H. M. Srivastava, H. M. Hossen and M. K. Aouf, A unified presentation of some classes of meromorphically multivalent functions, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 38 (1999), 63-70.

A. O. MOSTAFA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF MANSOURA, MANSOURA 35516, EGYPT

E-mail address: adelaeg254@yahoo.com

M. K. AOUF, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF MANSOURA, MANSOURA 35516, EGYPT

E-mail address: mkaouf127@yahoo.com

A. A. HUSSAIN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF MANSOURA, MANSOURA 35516, EGYPT

E-mail address: aisha84_hussain@yahoo.com