

**CERTAIN SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTION ASSOCIATED
 WITH A GENERALIZATION OF q -SALAGEAN OPERATOR
 WITH NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS**

A. O. MOSTAFA, M. K. AOUF, R. E. EL- MORSY

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study different properties for the new class $TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ of analytic starlike and convex functions associated with a generalization of q -Salagean operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

Denote by \mathcal{S} be the class of analytic and univalent functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, z \in \mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}. \quad (1)$$

Also by $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$ the subclasses of \mathcal{S} which are, respectively, starlike and convex functions of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), satisfying (see Robertson [22])

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha \right\}, \quad (2)$$

and

$$C(\alpha) = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \alpha \right\}. \quad (3)$$

It is follows from (2) and (3) that

$$f(z) \in C(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha).$$

For $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$, given by (1) and $0 < q < 1$, the Jackson's q -derivative of a function f is given by [19] (see also [2], [7], [12], [14], [17], [26], [27], [34] and [35]):

$$D_q f(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z}, (z \in \mathbb{U}, 0 < q < 1, z \neq 0), \quad (4)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Analytic functions, coefficient estimates, distortion, q -Al-Oboudi type difference operator, closure, modified Hadamard products, integral means, partial sums.

Submitted Aug. 27, 2020.

$D_q f(0) = f'(0)$ and $D_q^2 f(z) = D_q(D_q f(z))$. From (4) we have

$$D_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1}, \tag{5}$$

where

$$[k]_q = \frac{1 - q^k}{1 - q} \quad (0 < q < 1). \tag{6}$$

As $q \rightarrow 1^-$, $[k]_q \rightarrow k$ and, so $D_q f(z) = f'(z)$.

For $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $0 < q < 1$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\lambda,q}^0 f(z) &= f(z), \\ D_{\lambda,q}^1 f(z) &= (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda z D_q f(z) = D_{\lambda,q} f(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda([k]_q - 1)] a_k z^k \\ &\vdots \\ D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z) &= D_{\lambda,q}(D_{\lambda,q}^{n-1} f(z)) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

It follows from (1) and (7) that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z) &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda([k]_q - 1)]^n a_k z^k \\ (n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $[k]_q$ is given by (6).

We note that

- (i) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z) = D_{\lambda}^n f(z)$ (see Al-Oboudi [1], Aouf and Mostafa [8] and Aouf et al. [13]);
- (ii) $D_{1,q}^n f(z) = D_q^n f(z)$ (see Govindaraj and Sivasubramanian [18] and Aouf et al. [9]);
- (iii) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} D_{1,q}^n f(z) = D^n f(z)$ see Salagean [25] (also see [3], [4], [5] and [6]).

Definition 1. For $0 < q < 1, -1 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \beta \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, let $Y_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ be the class consisting of functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfying

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{z D_q(D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z))}{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z) + \gamma z D_q(D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z))} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{z D_q(D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z))}{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z) + \gamma z D_q(D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z))} - 1 \right|, z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{9}$$

Let $T \subset \mathcal{S}$ the class of functions

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, a_k \geq 0, \tag{10}$$

and

$$TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = Y_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \cap T. \tag{11}$$

We note that

- (i) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = TY^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) :$
 $\{f \in T : \Re \left\{ \frac{z(D_{\lambda}^n f(z))'}{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda}^n f(z) + \gamma z(D_{\lambda}^n f(z))'} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{z(D_{\lambda}^n f(z))'}{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda}^n f(z) + \gamma z(D_{\lambda}^n f(z))'} - 1 \right|, z \in \mathbb{U}\};$

(ii) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, 0) = TS_\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta)$ (see Aouf and Mostafa [8] and [13]);

(iii) $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} TY_q^1(n, \alpha, \beta, 0) = TS(n, \alpha, \beta)$ (see Rosy and Murugusundaramoorthy [23]);

(iv) $TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, 0) = TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta) :$

$$\{f \in T : \Re \left\{ \frac{zD_q(D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z))}{D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{zD_q(D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z))}{D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z)} - 1 \right|, z \in \mathbb{U}\};$$

(v) $TY_q^1(n, \alpha, \beta, 0) = T_q(n, \alpha, \beta) :$

$$\{f \in T : \Re \left\{ \frac{zD_q(D_q^n f(z))}{D_q^n f(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{zD_q(D_q^n f(z))}{D_q^n f(z)} - 1 \right|, z \in \mathbb{U}\};$$

(vi) $TY_q^\lambda(0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = TY_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) :$

$$\{f \in T : \Re \left\{ \frac{zD_q(f(z))}{(1-\gamma)f(z) + \gamma zD_q(f(z))} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{zD_q(f(z))}{(1-\gamma)f(z) + \gamma zD_q(f(z))} - 1 \right|, z \in \mathbb{U}\}.$$

In [29], Silverman found that $f_2(z) = z - \frac{z^2}{2}$ is often external over the family T . He applied this function to resolve his integral means inequality, conjectured in [30] and settled in [31], that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^\zeta d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f_2(re^{i\theta})|^\zeta d\theta,$$

for all $f \in T$, $\zeta > 0$ and $0 < r < 1$.

Lemma 1. [20] If f and g are analytic in \mathbb{U} with $g \prec f$ where \prec denotes subordination, then $\zeta > 0$ and $0 < r < 1$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})|^\zeta d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^\zeta d\theta.$$

2. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES

Unless indicated, we assume that $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $0 < q < 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $f(z) \in T$ and $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Theorem 1. A function $f(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{[k]_q(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)[1 + \gamma([k]_q - 1)]\} \left[1 + \lambda([k]_q - 1)\right]^n a_k \leq 1 - \alpha. \quad (12)$$

Proof. Assume that (12) holds. Then it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta \left| \frac{zD_q(D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z))}{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z) + \gamma zD_q(D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z))} - 1 \right| \\ & - \Re \left\{ \frac{zD_q(D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z))}{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z) + \gamma zD_q(D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z))} - 1 \right\} \\ & \leq 1 - \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta \left| \frac{zD_q(D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z))}{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z) + \gamma zD_q(D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z))} - 1 \right| \\ & - \Re \left\{ \frac{zD_q(D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z))}{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z) + \gamma zD_q(D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z))} - 1 \right\} \\ & \leq (1+\beta) \left| \frac{zD_q(D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z))}{(1-\gamma)D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z) + \gamma zD_q(D_{\lambda,q}^n f(z))} - 1 \right| \\ & \leq \frac{(1+\beta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ([k]_q - 1)(1-\gamma)[1+\lambda([k]_q - 1)]^n a_k}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1+\gamma([k]_q - 1)][1+\lambda([k]_q - 1)]^n a_k}. \end{aligned}$$

This last expression is bounded above by $(1 - \alpha)$ since (12) holds.

Conversely if $f(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and z is real, then

$$\frac{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q [1+\lambda([k]_q - 1)]^n a_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1+\gamma([k]_q - 1)][1+\lambda([k]_q - 1)]^n a_k z^{k-1}} - \alpha \geq \beta \left| \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ([k]_q - 1)(1-\gamma)[1+\lambda([k]_q - 1)]^n a_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1+\gamma([k]_q - 1)][1+\lambda([k]_q - 1)]^n a_k z^{k-1}} \right|.$$

Letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$ along the real axis, we obtain (12). Hence the proof is completed. \square

Corollary 1. For $f(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, we have

$$a_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{\{[k]_q(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)[1 + \gamma([k]_q - 1)]\} [1 + \lambda([k]_q - 1)]^n} \quad (k \geq 2) \quad (13)$$

and

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{\{[k]_q(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)[1 + \gamma([k]_q - 1)]\} [1 + \lambda([k]_q - 1)]^n} z^k \quad (k \geq 2), \quad (14)$$

gives the sharpness.

3. GROWTH AND DISTORTION THEOREMS

Theorem 2. Let $f(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then for $0 \leq i \leq n$,

$$|D_{\lambda,q}^i f(z)| \geq |z| - \frac{1 - \alpha}{\{[2]_q(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma q)\}(1 + \lambda q)^{n-i}} |z|^2 \quad (15)$$

and

$$|D_{\lambda,q}^i f(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{1 - \alpha}{\{[2]_q(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma q)\}(1 + \lambda q)^{n-i}} |z|^2. \quad (16)$$

The equalities in (15) and (16) are attained

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{\{[2]_q(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)(1 + \gamma q)\}(1 + \lambda q)^{n-i}} z^2. \quad (17)$$

Proof. Note that $f(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if and only if $D_{\lambda,q}^i f(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n-i, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, where

$$D_{\lambda,q}^i f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda([k]_q - 1)]^i a_k z^k. \quad (18)$$

Using Theorem 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \{[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q)\}(1+\lambda q)^{n-i} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[1 + \lambda([k]_q - 1)\right]^i a_k \\ & \leq 1 - \alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

that is, that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[1 + \lambda([k]_q - 1)\right]^i a_k \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{\{[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q)\}(1+\lambda q)^{n-i}}. \quad (20)$$

It follows from (18) and (20) that

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{\lambda,q}^i f(z)| & \geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[1 + \lambda([k]_q - 1)\right]^i a_k \\ & \geq |z| - \frac{1-\alpha}{\{[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q)\}(1+\lambda q)^{n-i}} |z|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{\lambda,q}^i f(z)| & \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[1 + \lambda([k]_q - 1)\right]^i a_k \\ & \leq |z| + \frac{1-\alpha}{\{[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q)\}(1+\lambda q)^{n-i}} |z|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Finally, we note that the bounds in (15) and (16) are attained for $f(z)$ defined by

$$D_{\lambda,q}^i f(z) = z - \frac{1-\alpha}{\{[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q)\}(1+\lambda q)^{n-i}} z^2 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (23)$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2. Let $f(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then

$$|f(z)| \geq |z| - \frac{1-\alpha}{\{[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q)\}(1+\lambda q)^n} |z|^2, \quad (24)$$

and

$$|f(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{1-\alpha}{\{[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q)\}(1+\lambda q)^n} |z|^2. \quad (25)$$

The sharpness are attained for $f(z)$ given by (17).

Proof. Taking $i = 0$ in Theorem 2, we can easily obtain (24) and (25). \square

4. CLOSURE THEOREMS

Let $f_i(z)$ be defined, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, by

$$f_i(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,i} z^k \quad (a_{k,i} \geq 0, z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (26)$$

Theorem 3. Let $f_i(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then

$$g(z) = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i f_i(z), \quad (27)$$

is also in the same class, where $c_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i = 1$.

Proof. According to (27), we can write

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i a_{k,i} \right) z^k. \quad (28)$$

Further, since $f_i(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, we get

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n a_{k,i} \leq 1-\alpha. \quad (29)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i a_{k,i} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n a_{k,i} \right] \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i \right) (1-\alpha) = 1-\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

which implies that $g(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Thus we have the theorem. \square

Corollary 3. The class $TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is closed under convex linear combination.

Proof. Let $f_i(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ ($i = 1, 2$) and

$$g(z) = \mu f_1(z) + (1-\mu) f_2(z) \quad (0 \leq \mu \leq 1), \quad (31)$$

Then by, taking $m = 2$, $c_1 = \mu$ and $c_2 = 1 - \mu$ in Theorem 3, we have $g(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. \square

Theorem 4. Let $f_1(z) = z$ and

$$f_k(z) = z - \frac{1-\alpha}{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n} z^k \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (32)$$

Then $f(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z), \quad (33)$$

where $\mu_k \geq 0$ ($k \geq 1$) and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1-\alpha}{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n} \mu_k z^k. \quad (34)$$

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n}{1-\alpha} \cdot \frac{1-\alpha}{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n} \mu_k \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1 - \mu_1 \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

So by Theorem 1, $f(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Conversely, assume that $f(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then

$$a_k \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\}[1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n} \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (36)$$

Setting

$$\mu_k = \frac{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\}[1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n}{1-\alpha} a_k \quad (k \geq 2), \quad (37)$$

and

$$\mu_1 = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k, \quad (38)$$

we see that $f(z)$ can be expressed in the form (33). This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 4. The extreme points of $TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ are $f_k(z)$ ($k \geq 1$) given by Theorem 4.

5. SOME RADII OF THE CLASS $TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

Theorem 5. Let $f(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta)$. Then for $0 \leq \rho < 1, k \geq 2, f(z)$ is

(i) close -to- convex of order ρ in $|z| < r_1$, where

$$r_1 = r_1(q, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \gamma, \rho) := \inf_k \left[\frac{(1-\rho)\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\}[1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n}{k(1-\alpha)} \right]^{\frac{1}{(k-1)}}, \quad (39)$$

(ii) starlike of order ρ in $|z| < r_2$, where

$$r_2 = r_2(q, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \gamma, \rho) := \inf_k \left[\frac{(1-\rho)\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\}[1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n}{(k-\rho)(1-\alpha)} \right]^{\frac{1}{(k-1)}}, \quad (40)$$

(iii) convex of order ρ in $|z| < r_3$, where

$$r_3 = r_3(q, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \gamma, \rho) := \inf_k \left[\frac{(1-\rho)\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\}[1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n}{k(k-\rho)(1-\alpha)} \right]^{\frac{1}{(k-1)}}. \quad (41)$$

The result is sharp, for $f(z)$ given by (14).

Proof. To prove (i) we must show that

$$\left| f'(z) - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho \quad \text{for } |z| < r_1(q, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho).$$

From (10), we have

$$\left| f'(z) - 1 \right| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k |z|^{k-1}.$$

Thus

$$\left| f'(z) - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho,$$

if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{1-\rho} \right) a_k |z|^{k-1} \leq 1. \quad (42)$$

But, by Theorem 1, (42) will be true if

$$\left(\frac{k}{1-\rho} \right) |z|^{k-1} \leq \frac{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\}[1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n}{1-\alpha},$$

that is, if

$$|z| \leq \left[\frac{(1-\rho)\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\}[1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n}{k(1-\alpha)} \right]^{\frac{1}{(k-1)}} \quad (k \geq 2), \quad (43)$$

which gives (39).

To prove (ii) and (iii) it may to show

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho \quad \text{for } |z| < r_2, \quad (44)$$

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1 - \rho \quad \text{for } |z| < r_3, \quad (45)$$

respectively, by using arguments as in proving (i). \square

6. A FAMILY OF INTEGRAL OPERATORS

Theorem 6. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (10) be in the class $TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and let $c > -1$ be real number. Then the function $F(z)$ defined by

$$F(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt \quad (c > -1), \quad (46)$$

also belongs to the class $TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Proof. It follows from (46) that

$$F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad (47)$$

where

$$b_k = \frac{c+1}{c+k} a_k \leq a_k. \quad (48)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n b_k \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k}\right) a_k \\ &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n a_k \leq 1 - \alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

since $f(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Hence, by Theorem 1, $F(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. \square

Theorem 7. Let $F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta)$, ($a_k \geq 0$) and $c > -1$ be real number. Then $f(z)$ given by (46) is univalent in $|z| < R^*$, where

$$R^* = \inf_k \left[\frac{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\}[1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^{n(c+1)}}{k(1-\alpha)(c+k)} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (50)$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. From (46), we have

$$f(z) = \frac{z^{1-c}(z^c F(z))'}{c+1} = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+k}{c+1} \right) a_k z^k. \quad (51)$$

In order to obtain the required result, it suffices to show that $|f'(z) - 1| < 1$ whenever $|z| < R^*$. Now

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{c+k}{c+1} \right) a_k |z|^{k-1}.$$

Thus $|f'(z) - 1| < 1$, if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{c+k}{c+1} \right) a_k |z|^{k-1} < 1. \quad (52)$$

But Theorem 1 confirms that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n a_k}{1-\alpha} \leq 1. \quad (53)$$

Hence (52) will be satisfied if

$$k \left(\frac{c+k}{c+1} \right) |z|^{k-1} < \frac{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n}{1-\alpha},$$

that is, if

$$|z| < \left[\frac{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n (c+1)}{k(1-\alpha)(c+k)} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (54)$$

Therefore the function $f(z)$ given by (46) is univalent in $|z| < R^*$. The sharpness follows if

$$f(z) = z - \frac{(1-\alpha)(c+k)}{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n (c+1)} z^k \quad (k \geq 2; c > -1). \quad (55)$$

This completes the proof. \square

7. MODIFIED HADAMARD PRODUCTS

Let $f_j(z)$ be defined, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, by (26). Then for $m = 2$, the modified Hadamard product is defined by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} z^k = (f_2 * f_1)(z). \quad (56)$$

Theorem 8. If $f_j(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, ($j = 1, 2$) then

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \tau, \beta, \gamma),$$

where

$$\tau = 1 - \frac{q(1+\beta)(1-\alpha)^2}{\{[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q)\}^2 (1+\lambda q)^n - (1-\alpha)^2}. \quad (57)$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. Employing the techniques used by Schild and Silverman [33], we need to find the largest τ such that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\tau+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n}{(1-\tau)} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} \leq 1. \tag{58}$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n}{(1-\alpha)} a_{k,j} \leq 1 \quad (j = 1, 2), \tag{59}$$

then Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n}{(1-\alpha)} \sqrt{a_{k,1} a_{k,2}} \leq 1. \tag{60}$$

Thus it is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n}{(1-\tau)} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} \\ & \leq \frac{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\tau+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n}{(1-\alpha)} \sqrt{a_{k,1} a_{k,2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

that is, that

$$\sqrt{a_{k,1} a_{k,2}} \leq \frac{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} (1-\tau)}{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\tau+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} (1-\alpha)} \quad (k \geq 2). \tag{62}$$

Note that

$$\sqrt{a_{k,1} a_{k,2}} \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)}{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n} \quad (k \geq 2). \tag{63}$$

Consequently, we need only to prove that

$$\frac{(1-\alpha)}{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n} \leq \frac{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} (1-\tau)}{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\tau+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} (1-\alpha)} \quad (k \geq 2), \tag{64}$$

or, equivalently, that

$$\tau \leq 1 - \frac{([k]_q-1)(1+\beta)(1-\alpha)^2}{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\}^2 [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n - (1-\alpha)^2} \quad (k \geq 2). \tag{65}$$

Since

$$\Phi_q(k) = 1 - \frac{([k]_q-1)(1+\beta)(1-\alpha)^2}{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\}^2 [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n - (1-\alpha)^2}, \tag{66}$$

is an increasing function of k ($k \geq 2$), letting $k = 2$ in (66), we obtain

$$\tau \leq \Phi_q(2) = 1 - \frac{q(1+\beta)(1-\alpha)^2}{\{[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q)\}^2 (1+\lambda q)^n - (1-\alpha)^2}, \tag{67}$$

which is (57).

Finally, taking $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) of the form

$$f_j(z) = z - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{\{[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q)\} (1+\lambda q)^n} z^2 \quad (j = 1, 2), \tag{68}$$

we can see that the result is sharp. □

Theorem 9. Let $f_1(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and $f_2(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \rho, \beta, \gamma)$. Then

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \xi, \beta, \gamma),$$

where

$$\xi = 1 - \frac{q(1+\beta)(1-\alpha)(1-\rho)}{\{[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q)\} \{ [2]_q(1+\beta) - (\rho+\beta)(1+\gamma q) \} (1+\lambda q)^n - (1-\alpha)(1-\rho)}. \quad (69)$$

The result is the best possible for the functions

$$f_1(z) = z - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{\{ [2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q) \} (1+\lambda q)^n} z^2, \quad (70)$$

and

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{(1-\rho)}{\{ [2]_q(1+\beta) - (\rho+\beta)(1+\gamma q) \} (1+\lambda q)^n} z^2.$$

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 8, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &\leq B_q(k) \\ &= 1 - \frac{([k]_q - 1)(1+\beta)(1-\alpha)(1-\rho)}{\{ [k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q - 1)] \} \{ [k]_q(1+\beta) - (\rho+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q - 1)] \} [1+\lambda([k]_q - 1)]^n - (1-\alpha)(1-\rho)} \quad (k \geq 2). \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

Since the function $B_q(k)$ is an increasing function of k ($k \geq 2$), setting $k = 2$ in (71), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &\leq B_q(2) \\ &= 1 - \frac{q(1+\beta)(1-\alpha)(1-\rho)}{\{ [2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q) \} \{ [2]_q(1+\beta) - (\rho+\beta)(1+\gamma q) \} (1+\lambda q)^n - (1-\alpha)(1-\rho)}. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 5. Let $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$) $\in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then

$$(f_1 * f_2 * f_3)(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \delta, \beta, \gamma),$$

where

$$\delta = 1 - \frac{q(1+\beta)(1-\alpha)^3}{\{ [2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q) \}^3 (1+\lambda q)^{2n} - (1-\alpha)^3}. \quad (73)$$

The result is the best possible for $f_j(z)$ given by (69); $j = 1, 2, 3$.

Proof. From Theorem 8, we have $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \tau, \beta, \gamma)$, where τ is given by (57). By using Theorem 9, we get $(f_1 * f_2 * f_3)(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \delta, \beta, \gamma)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= 1 - \frac{q(1+\beta)(1-\alpha)(1-\tau)}{\{ [2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q) \} \{ [2]_q(1+\beta) - (\tau+\beta)(1+\gamma q) \} (1+\lambda q)^n - (1-\alpha)(1-\tau)} \\ &= 1 - \frac{q(1+\beta)(1-\alpha)^3}{\{ [2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q) \}^3 (1+\lambda q)^{2n} - (1-\alpha)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 10. Let $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) $\in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (a_{k,1}^2 + a_{k,2}^2) z^k, \quad (74)$$

belongs to the class $TY_q^\lambda(n, v, \beta, \gamma)$, where

$$v = 1 - \frac{2q(1+\beta)(1-\alpha)^2}{\{ [2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q) \}^2 (1+\lambda q)^n - 2(1-\alpha)^2}. \quad (75)$$

The result is sharp for $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) defined by (68).

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n}{(1-\alpha)} \right]^2 a_{k,j}^2 \\ & \leq \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n}{(1-\alpha)} a_{k,j} \right]^2 \leq 1 \quad (j = 1, 2), \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n}{(1-\alpha)} \right]^2 (a_{k,1}^2 + a_{k,2}^2) \leq 1. \quad (77)$$

Therefore, we need to find the largest v such that

$$\frac{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (v+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n}{(1-\tau)} \quad (78)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^n}{(1-\alpha)} \right]^2 \quad (k \geq 2), \quad (79)$$

that is,

$$v \leq 1 - \frac{2([k]_q-1)(1+\beta)(1-\alpha)^2}{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\}^2 [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^{n-2} (1-\alpha)^2} \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (80)$$

Since

$$Q_q(k) = 1 - \frac{2([k]_q-1)(1+\beta)(1-\alpha)^2}{\{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\}^2 [1+\lambda([k]_q-1)]^{n-2} (1-\alpha)^2}, \quad (81)$$

is an increasing function of k ($k \geq 2$), we readily have

$$v \leq Q_q(2) = 1 - \frac{2q(1+\beta)(1-\alpha)^2}{\{[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q)\}^2 (1+\lambda q)^{n-2} (1-\alpha)^2}, \quad (82)$$

and Theorem 10 follows at once. \square

Remarks 1:

(i) Letting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ and putting $\gamma = 0$ in the above results, we obtain the results of Aouf and Mostafa [8] and Aouf et al. [13];

(ii) Letting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ and putting $\lambda = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$ in the above results, we obtain the results of Rosy and Murugusundaramoorthy [23].

8. INTEGRAL MEANS

Applying Lemma 1, Theorem 1 and Corollary 1, we can prove the following results.

Theorem 11. Let $f(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma), \zeta > 0$ and $f_2(z)$ is defined by

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{1-\alpha}{\{[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q)\} (1+\lambda q)^n} z^2.$$

Then for $z = re^{i\theta}, 0 < r < 1$, we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(z)|^\zeta d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f_2(z)|^\zeta d\theta. \quad (83)$$

Proof. From (10) and (83) is equivalent to proving that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1} \right|^\zeta d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{\{[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q)\}(1+\lambda q)^n} z \right|^\zeta d\theta.$$

By Lemma 1, it sufficient to show that

$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1} < 1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{\{[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q)\}(1+\lambda q)^n} z.$$

Setting

$$1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1} = 1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{\{[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q)\}(1+\lambda q)^n} \omega(z),$$

and using (13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega(z)| &= \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\{[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q)\}(1+\lambda q)^n}{1-\alpha} a_k z^{k-1} \right| \\ &\leq |z| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\{[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)(1+\gamma q)\}(1+\lambda q)^n}{1-\alpha} a_k \leq |z|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

9. PARTIAL SUMS

For $f(z)$ of the form (1), its sequence of partial sums is denoted by

$$f_m(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k z^k \quad (m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}).$$

Silverman [32] determined sharp lower bounds for

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)} \right\}, \Re \left\{ \frac{f_m(z)}{f(z)} \right\}, \Re \left\{ \frac{D_q f(z)}{D_q f_m(z)} \right\}, \Re \left\{ \frac{D_q f_m(z)}{D_q f(z)} \right\}.$$

We will follow the work of [32] and also the work cited in ([10], [11], [15], [16], [24], [28] and [21]) on partial sums of analytic functions. Also, we will make use of the well-known results that $\Re \left\{ \frac{1+g(z)}{1-g(z)} \right\} > 0$ if and only if $g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ satisfies the inequality $|g(z)| \leq |z|$.

We let

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{q,k}^n &= \Phi_q^n(k, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda) \\ &= \{[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)[1+\gamma([k]_q-1)]\} \left[1 + \lambda([k]_q-1) \right]^n. \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

Theorem 12. Let $f(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and $\frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0$ ($0 < |z| < 1$), then

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n - (1-\alpha)}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}, \quad (85)$$

where

$$\Phi_{q,k}^n \geq \begin{cases} 1-\alpha, & \text{if } k = 2, 3, \dots, m \\ \Phi_{q,m+1}^n, & \text{if } k = m+1, m+2, \dots \end{cases}.$$

The result is sharp for every m , for the function

$$f(z) = z + \frac{1-\alpha}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n} z^{m+1}. \quad (86)$$

Proof. Define $g(z)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1+g(z)}{1-g(z)} &= \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{1-\alpha} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)} - \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n - (1-\alpha)}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k z^{k-1} + \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k z^{k-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

It sufficient to show that $|g(z)| \leq 1$. Now from (87), we have

$$g(z) = \frac{\frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{2 + 2 \sum_{k=2}^m a_k z^{k-1} + \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}$$

and

$$|g(z)| \leq \frac{\frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^m |a_k| - \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k|}.$$

Now $|g(z)| \leq 1$ iff

$$2 \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq 2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^m |a_k|,$$

or

$$\sum_{k=2}^m |a_k| + \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq 1. \quad (88)$$

It sufficient to show that the left hand side of (88) is bounded above by

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_{q,k}^n}{1-\alpha} |a_k|,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=2}^m \frac{\Phi_{q,k}^n - (1-\alpha)}{1-\alpha} |a_k| + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_{q,k}^n - \Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{1-\alpha} |a_k| \geq 0.$$

To see that f given by (86) gives the sharp result, we observe for $z = r e^{\frac{i\pi}{m}}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)} &= 1 + \frac{1-\alpha}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n} z^k \rightarrow 1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n} \\ &= \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n - (1-\alpha)}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n} \end{aligned}$$

when $r \rightarrow 1^-$. Therefore we complete the proof. \square

Theorem 13. Let $f(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and $\frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0 (0 < |z| < 1)$, then

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{f_m(z)}{f(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n + 1 - \alpha}. \quad (89)$$

The result is sharp for every m , for the function given by (86).

Proof. The proof follows by defining

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1+g(z)}{1-g(z)} &= \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^{n+1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \left\{ \frac{f_m(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^{n+1-\alpha}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k z^{k-1} - \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and much akin are to similiar arguments in Theorem 12. So, we omit it. \square

Theorem 14. Let $f(z) \in TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{D_q f(z)}{D_q f_m(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n - [m+1]_q(1-\alpha)}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}, \quad (90)$$

and

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{D_q f_m(z)}{D_q f(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n + [m+1]_q(1-\alpha)} \quad (91)$$

where $\Phi_{q,m+1}^n \geq [m+1]_q(1-\alpha)$ and

$$\Phi_{q,k}^n \geq \begin{cases} [k]_q(1-\alpha), & \text{if } k = 2, 3, \dots, m \\ [k]_q \left(\frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{[m+1]_q} \right) & \text{if } k = m+1, m+2, \dots \end{cases}$$

The result is sharp for every m , for the function given by (86).

Proof. We prove only (90), which is similar in spirit of the proof of Theorem 12. The proof of (91) follows the pattern of that in Theorem 13. We write

$$\frac{1+g(z)}{1-g(z)} = \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{1-\alpha} \left\{ \frac{D_q f(z)}{D_q f_m(z)} - \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n - [m+1]_q(1-\alpha)}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n} \right\},$$

where

$$g(z) = \frac{\frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1}}{2 + 2 \sum_{k=2}^m [k]_q a_k z^{k-1} + \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1}}$$

and

$$|g(z)| \leq \frac{\frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} [k]_q |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^m [k]_q |a_k| - \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} [k]_q |a_k|}$$

$|g(z)| \leq 1$ iff

$$2 \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{1-\alpha} \sum [k]_q |a_k| \leq 2 - 2 \sum [k]_q |a_k|,$$

or

$$\sum_{k=2}^m [k]_q |a_k| + \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^n}{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} [k]_q |a_k| \leq 1. \quad (92)$$

The left hand side of (92) is bounded above by

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_{q,k}^n}{1-\alpha} |a_k|,$$

this complete the proof. \square

Remarks 2:

(i) Letting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ and putting $\lambda = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$ in Theorems 12,13 and 14, we have results obtained by Mostafa [21];

(ii) Putting $\lambda = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$ in our results, we obtain corresponding results for the class $TY_q(n, \alpha, \beta)$;

(iii) Letting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in our results, we obtain corresponding results for the class $TY^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$;

(iv) Putting $\gamma = 0$ in our results, we obtain corresponding results for the class $TY_q^\lambda(n, \alpha, \beta)$;

(v) Putting $n = 0$ in our results, we obtain corresponding results for the class $TY_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. M. AL-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 27, 1429-1436, 2004.
- [2] M. H. Annby and Z. S. Mansour, q -Fractional Calculus Equations, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 2056, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2012.
- [3] M. K. Aouf, Neighborhoods of certain classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Internet. J. Math. Sci.*, 2006, Article ID38258, 1-6, 2006.
- [4] M. K. Aouf, Subordination properties for a certain class of analytic functions defined by Sălăgean operator, *Appl. Math. Letters*, 22, 1581-1585, 2009.
- [5] M. K. Aouf, A subclass of uniformly convex functions with negative coefficients, *Math. Tome* 52, 75 (2), 99-111, 2010.
- [6] M. K. Aouf and N. E. Cho, On a certain subclass of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Tr. J. Math.*, 22, 15-32, 1998.
- [7] M. K. Aouf, H. E. Darwish and G. S. Sălăgean, On a generalization of starlike functions with negative coefficients, *Math. Tome* 43, 66 (1), 3-10, 2001.
- [8] M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa, Some properties of a subclass of uniformly convex functions with negative coefficients, *Demonstratio Math.*, 41 (2), 353-370, 2008.
- [9] M. K. Aouf, A. O. Mostafa and F. Y. AL-Quhali, Properties for class of β -uniformly univalent functions defined by type q -difference operator, *Int. J. Open Problems of Complex Analysis*, 11 (2), 1-16, 2019.
- [10] M. K. Aouf, A. O. Mostafa, A. Y. Lashin and B. M. Munassar, Partial sums for a certain subclass of meromorphic univalent functions, *Sarajevo J. Math.*, 10 (23), 161-169, 2014.
- [11] M. K. Aouf, A. Shamandy, A. O. Mostafa and E. A. Adwan, Partial sums of certain of analytic functions defined by Dziok-Srivastava operator, *Acta Univ. Apulensis*, 30, 65-76, 2012.
- [12] M. K. Aouf and T. M. Seoudy, Convolution properties for classes of bounded analytic functions with complex order defined by q -derivative operator, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Math.*, 113 (2), 1279-1288, 2019.
- [13] M. K. Aouf, A. Shamandy, A. O. Mostafa and F. El-Emam, Subordination results associated with β -uniformly convex and starlike functions, *Pro. Pakistan Acad. Sci.*, 46 (2), 97-101, 2009.
- [14] A. Aral, V. Gupta, and R. P. Agarwal, *Applications of q -Calculus in Operator Theory*, Springer, New York, USA, 2013.
- [15] B. A. Frasin, Partial sums of certain analytic and univalent functions, *Acta Math. Acad. Paed. Nyir.*, 21, 135-145, 2005.
- [16] B. A. Frasin and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Partial sums of certain analytic and univalent functions, *Mathematica*, Tome., 53 (75) (2), 131-142, 2011.
- [17] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, U. K., 1990.
- [18] M. Govindaraj and S. Sivasubramanian, On a class of analytic function related to conic domains involving q -calculus, *Analysis Math.*, 43 (3) (5), 475-487, 2017.
- [19] F. H. Jackson, On q -functions and a certain difference operator, *Trans. Royal. Soc. Edinburgh*, 46, 253-281, 1908.

- [20] J. E. Littlewood, On inequalities in theory of functions, Proc. London Math. Soc., 23, 481-519, 1925.
- [21] A. O. Mostafa, On partial sums of certain analytic functions, Demonstratio Math., 41 (4), 779-788, 2008.
- [22] M. S. Robertson, On the theory of univalent functions, Ann. Math., 37, 374-408, 1936.
- [23] T. Rosy and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Fractional calculus and their applications to certain subclass of uniformly convex functions, Far East J. Math. Sci., (FJMS), 115 (2), 231-242, 2004.
- [24] T. Rosy, K. G. Subramanian and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Neighborhoods and partial sums of starlike functions based on Ruscheweyh derivatives, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math., 64 (4), Art., 4, 1-8, 2003.
- [25] G. Sălăgean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Lect. Notes in Math., (SpringerVerlag), 1013, 362-372, 1983.
- [26] T. M. Seoudy and M. K. Aouf, Convolution properties for certain classes of analytic functions defined by q-derivative operator, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2014, Article ID 846719, 1-7, 2014.
- [27] T. M. Seoudy and M. K. Aouf, Coefficient estimates of new classes of q-starlike and q-convex functions of complex order, J. Math. Ineq., 10 (1), 135-145, 2016.
- [28] T. Sheil-Small. A note on partial sums of convex schlicht functions, Bull. London Math. Soc., 2, 165-168, 1970.
- [29] H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 51, 109-116, 1975.
- [30] H. Silverman, A survey with open problems on univalent functions whose coefficients are negative, Rocky Mt. J. Math., 21, 1099-1125, 1991.
- [31] H. Silverman, Integral means for univalent functions with negative coefficients, Houston J. Math., 23, 169-174, 1997.
- [32] H. Silverman, Partial sums of starlike and convex functions, J. Math. Appl., 209, 221-227, 1997.
- [33] A. Schild and H. Silverman, Convolution of univalent functions with negative coefficients, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska sect. A, 29, 99-106, 1975.
- [34] H. M. Srivastava and A. O. Mostafa, M. K. Aouf and H. M. Zayed, Basic and fractional q-calculus and associated Fekete-Szegő problem for p-valently q-starlike functions and p-valently q-convex functions of complex order, Miskolc Math. Notes 20 (1), 489-509, 2019.
- [35] H. M. Zayed and M. K. Aouf, Subclasses of analytic functions of complex order associated with q-Mittag Leffler function, J. Egyptian Math. Soc., 26 (2), 278-286, 2018.

A. O. MOSTAFA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, MANSOURA UNIVERSITY, MANSOURA 35516, EGYPT.

E-mail address: adelaeg254@yahoo.com

M. K. AOUF, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, MANSOURA UNIVERSITY, MANSOURA 35516, EGYPT.

E-mail address: mkaouf127@yahoo.com

R. E. EL-MORSY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, MANSOURA UNIVERSITY, MANSOURA 35516, EGYPT.

E-mail address: Raghda163@yahoo.com