

Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications Vol. 12(2) July 2024, No.4. ISSN: 2090-729X (online) ISSN: 3009-6731(print) http://ejmaa.journals.ekb.eg/

SOME REMARKS ON THE HIGHER REGULARITY OF MINIMIZERS OF ANISOTROPIC FUNCTIONALS

FRANCESCO SIEPE

ABSTRACT. We consider the anisotropic integral functional of the calculus of variations

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i |D_i u|^{p_i} \right] dx,$$

where $c_i \geq 0$ and $2 \leq p_i \leq p_{i+1}$ for every $i = 1, \ldots n - 1$. We exhibit a minimizer of such functional, for an opportune choice of the exponents p_i , which turns out to be bounded everywhere and Lipschitz continuous (or even of class C^1) in an opportune subset of Ω .

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the second half of the eighties, many people interested to the study of the regularity properties of minimizers of functionals of the calculus of variations of the type

$$\mathcal{I}(u,\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i f_i(D_i u) dx,$$
(1)

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2)$, is an open bounded set, $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, $c_i \geq 0$ for i = 1, ..., nare constants and $f_i : \mathbb{R} \to [0, +\infty)$ are functions satisfying, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the following non standard growth condition:

$$\lambda |t|^{p_i} \le f_i(t) \le \Lambda |t|^{p_i}$$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 49N60, 35J60, 35B65, 35A24.

Key words and phrases. Calculus of variations, anisotropic functional, minimizers, regularity. Submitted December 9, 2023.

for every i = 1, ..., n and some positive constants $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$. Moreover, some further assumption, such as a *strong ellipticity* condition of the kind

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}''(\xi_{i})\eta_{i}\eta_{i} \geq \nu \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\xi_{i}|^{p_{i}-2} |\eta_{i}|^{2},$$

is assumed for every $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and some $\nu > 0$. Authors often refer to such a functional as the *othotropic functional*.

A minimizer of functional (1) is a function u which belongs to the *anisotropic*

Sobolev space

$$W^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega) = \left\{ v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) : D_i v \in L^{p_i}(\Omega), \ \forall \ i = 1, \dots, n \right\},$$
(2)

and such that

$$\mathcal{I}(u,\Omega) \leq \mathcal{I}(v,\Omega),$$

for every $v \in W^{1,(p_i)}(\Omega)$ such that v = u on $\partial \Omega$.

As it is well known, there exists minimizers of functional (1), that are not bounded, if the exponents p_i are too far apart (see [18], [11], [13]).

To be more precise about this point, it is opportune to introduce the harmonic mean of the exponents p_i , that is

$$\bar{p} = n \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_i} \right]^{-1}$$

and its Sobolev conjugate \bar{p}^* defined as

$$ar{p}^* = rac{nar{p}}{n-ar{p}} \qquad ext{if} \quad ar{p} < n,$$

while \bar{p}^* is any number strictly greater than \bar{p} otherwise.

In [18] and [11] for instance it is proved that, if $n \ge 6$, $p_i = 2$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and $p_n = 4$, then there exists a minimizer of functional (1) which is not bounded at some point of the unit ball in $\Omega = B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

This result can be generalized obtaining that, if $p_i > \bar{p}^*$ for some *i*, then the minimizer *u* may not be bounded.

On the other hand, it has also been proved (see [3], [10], [25]) that the minimizers of functional (1) are bounded, provided

$$\max_{1 \le i \le n} p_i \le \bar{p}^*. \tag{3}$$

A question arise at this point:

"is the bound (3) sufficient to get higher regularity (namely, Lipschitz regularity) for the minimizers of functional (1)?"

In [1], the same problem is taken into account in the vectorial case, that is for $u: \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^N \ (N > 1)$, and a very important result is proved under assumption (3):

"there exists an open subset Ω_0 of Ω , such that $|\Omega \setminus \Omega_0| = 0$ and $u \in C^{1,\alpha}_{loc}(\Omega_0, \mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$ ".

Therefore, if condition (3) holds in the vectorial case, we have Hölder regularity of minimizers, up to a set of measure zero. In literature we often refer to this property as *partial regularity* of minimizers.

In the scalar case, higher regularity results have been obtained by paying the price of a stronger bound for the exponents p_i (see for instance [19], [22] and the references quoted there).

Moreover, in [16] and [17] it is proven that any bounded minimizer of functional (1) is Lipschitz continuous, but this proof is known to have some flaws, while in [4] the same result is obtained for any choice of the exponents $2 \le p_1, \le \cdots \le p_n$.

The goal of this paper is to exhibit an example of a minimizer u of functional (1).

For the construction of the minimizer we will choose the exponents p_1, \ldots, p_n in such a way that they satisfy bound (3) as well as some further lower bound. Moreover we will make some assumption about the dependences of u from the variables x_1, \ldots, x_n , that will force us to slightly reduce the set where the minimizer itself is defined.

2. Statements and assumptions

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq k < n$ and consider the anisotropic functional (1) in the case of $p_1 = p_2 = \cdots = p_k = p \geq 2$ and $p_{k+1} = p_{k+2} = \cdots = p_n = q > p$. Moreover we assume that $c_1 = c_2 = \cdots = c_k = 1$ while $c_{k+1} = c_{k+2} = \cdots = c_n = A > 0$:

$$\int_{B_1(0)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k |D_i u|^p + A \sum_{i=k+1}^n |D_i u|^q \right] dx, \tag{4}$$

We assume also that a minimizer of (4) assumes a prescribed value u_0 at the boundary of the unit ball $B_1(0)$.

Our purpose is to determine an explicit a minimizer of functional (4) for suitable values of A, p, q, k, n.

Let $W^{p,q}$ be the space defined by (2), when $p_i = p$ for every i = 1, ..., k, $p_i = q$ for every i = k + 1, ..., n and $\Omega = B_1(0)$. As we can easily see, in this case

$$\bar{p} = \frac{npq}{qk + p(n-k)}$$

Furthermore, if $p \leq k$ we have that $\bar{p} < n$ while, if p > k, the same condition holds according to

$$q < \frac{n-k}{p-k}p. \tag{5}$$

Hence, if q satisfies condition (5), we can set

$$\bar{p}^* = \frac{npq}{k(q-p) + p(n-q)}$$

Then, from now on, we will assume that p > k and (5) holds. Under such assumptions, it easily follows that $q \leq \bar{p}^*$. For our convenience, we will also assume that q > n - k.

By the regularity results stated above, it follows that condition (5) for q, ensures that the minimizers of functional (4) are bounded.

It is also remarkable that a sufficient upper bound for q to get Lipschitz regularity is

$$q < \frac{n+2}{n}p\tag{6}$$

(see [19] and [22]). Although more recent results slightly improved bound (6) for Lipschitz regularity, and that in [4] Lipschitz regularity is obtained just assuming that (3) holds, for our convenience in the following we will always assume that

$$k
(7)$$

Remark 1. The chain of inequalities (7) stands according to

$$k$$

and that k satisfies inequalities k if and only if <math>k < n/2. It is also opportune to point out that not every bound in (7) is necessary; for instance we could do without assuming that p > k, p < n - k nor (5), but we will use these conditions to ease our further calculations.

3. Main result

We recall that a function $u \in W^{p,q}$ is a minimizer of functional (4) if and only if u satisfy the Euler equation:

$$\int_{B_1(0)} \left[p \sum_{i=1}^k |D_i u|^{p-2} D_i u D_i \varphi + Aq \sum_{i=k+1}^n |D_i u|^{q-2} D_i u D_i \varphi \right] dx = 0, \quad (8)$$

for every $\varphi \in W_0^{p,q}$, that is the subset of $W^{p,q}$, consisting of those functions who vanish at $\partial B_1(0)$.

If we integrate by parts in (8), it follows that a classical solution of such equation should be a function satisfying the second order partial differential equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} |D_i u|^{p-2} D_i(D_i u) + A \frac{q(q-1)}{p(p-1)} \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} |D_i u|^{q-2} D_i(D_i u) = 0.$$
(9)

Our first purpose is to perform a suitable change of variables in equation (9), in order to turn it into an ordinary differential equation. To this aim we set

$$r = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} |x_i|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}, \quad R = \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} |x_i|^{\frac{q}{q-1}}\right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = \frac{r^p}{R^q} \tag{10}$$

and we will look for a solution u of (9) such that $u = u(\rho)$. First of all we prove the following result

Lemma 3.1. Let r, R and ρ be defined by (10). Then a function $u = u(\rho)$ satisfies equation (9) if and only if it is a solution to the following second order ordinary differential equation

$$p(p-1)\rho u'' \left[1 + B\rho^{q-p+1} |u'|^{q-p}\right] + u' \left[p^2 - 2p + k + B \frac{p(p-1)(q^2 - n + k)}{q(q-1)} \rho^{q-p+1} |u'|^{q-p}\right] = 0$$
(11)

for a suitable constant B = B(A, p, q) > 0.

Proof: By assuming that $u(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = u(\rho)$, for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$ we have (for our convenience, in the following we will use the notation ρ_{x_i} for $D_i \rho \ldots$)

$$D_i u = u'(\rho)\rho_{x_i}$$
 and $D_i(D_i u) = u''(\rho)\rho_{x_i}^2 + u'(\rho)\rho_{x_i x_i}$ (12)

and, by putting derivatives (12) into equation (9) we obtain that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} |u_{x_i}|^{p-2} u_{x_i x_i} = |u'(\rho)|^{p-2} \left[u''(\rho) \sum_{i=1}^{k} |\rho_{x_i}|^p + u'(\rho) \sum_{i=1}^{k} |\rho_{x_i}|^{p-2} \rho_{x_i x_i} \right]$$

and

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} |u_{x_i}|^{q-2} u_{x_i x_i} = |u'(\rho)|^{q-2} \left[u''(\rho) \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} |\rho_{x_i}|^q + u'(\rho) \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} |\rho_{x_i}|^{q-2} \rho_{x_i x_i} \right].$$

Moreover, as we can easily see, if $i = 1, \ldots, k$:

$$\rho_{x_i} = p \frac{r_{x_i}}{r} \rho \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{x_i x_i} = p(p-1) \frac{r_{x_i}^2}{r^2} \rho + p \frac{r_{x_i x_i}}{r} \rho$$
(13)

while, if i = k + 1, ..., n:

$$\rho_{x_i} = -q \frac{R_{x_i}}{R} \rho \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{x_i x_i} = q(q+1) \frac{R_{x_i}^2}{R^2} \rho - q \frac{R_{x_i x_i}}{R} \rho.$$
(14)

By definition (10) it follows that

$$r_{x_i} = \frac{x_i |x_i|^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}}{r^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}, \qquad r_{x_i x_i} = \frac{1}{p-1} \frac{|x_i|^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}}{r^{\frac{2}{p-1}}} \left[1 - \left(\frac{|x_i|}{r}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right]$$
(15)

and, analogously

$$R_{x_i} = \frac{x_i |x_i|^{\frac{2-q}{q-1}}}{R^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}, \qquad R_{x_i x_i} = \frac{1}{q-1} \frac{|x_i|^{\frac{2-q}{q-1}}}{R^{\frac{2}{q-1}}} \left[1 - \left(\frac{|x_i|}{R}\right)^{\frac{q}{q-1}} \right]$$
(16)

So, by (13), (14), (15) and (16) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} |\rho_{x_i}|^p = \left(\frac{p}{r}\rho\right)^p \sum_{i=1}^{k} |r_{x_i}|^p = \left(\frac{p}{r}\rho\right)^p \frac{1}{r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} |x_i|^{p + \frac{p(2-p)}{p-1}} = \left(\frac{p}{r}\rho\right)^p$$

and similarly

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} |\rho_{x_i}|^q = \left(\frac{q}{R}\rho\right)^q.$$

Moreover

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} |\rho_{x_i}|^{p-2} \rho_{x_i x_i} = \left(\frac{p}{r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\rho\right)^{p-1} \left(\frac{p^2 - 2p + k}{p-1}\right)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} |\rho_{x_i}|^{q-2} \rho_{x_i x_i} = \left(\frac{q}{R^{\frac{q}{q-1}}}\rho\right)^{q-1} \left(\frac{q^2-n+k}{q-1}\right).$$

Finally, equation (9) becomes

$$\begin{split} |u'|^{p-2} \left[u'' \left(\frac{p}{r}\rho\right)^p + u' \left(\frac{p}{r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\rho\right)^{p-1} \left(\frac{p^2 - 2p + k}{p - 1}\right) \right] \\ + \frac{Aq(q-1)}{p(p-1)} |u'|^{q-2} \left[u'' \left(\frac{q}{R}\rho\right)^q + u' \left(\frac{q}{R^{\frac{q}{q-1}}}\rho\right)^{q-1} \left(\frac{q^2 - n + k}{q - 1}\right) \right] &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \ (p\rho)^{p-1} |u'|^{p-2} \left[p(p-1)\rho u'' + (p^2 - 2p + k)u' \right] \\ + A\frac{(q\rho)^q}{p} |u'|^{q-2} \left[q(q-1)\rho u'' + (q^2 - n + k)u' \right] &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \ p(p-1)\rho u'' \left[1 + B\rho^{q-p+1} |u'|^{q-p} \right] \\ + u' \left[p^2 - 2p + k + B\frac{(q^2 - n + k)p(p-1)}{q(q-1)}\rho^{q-p+1} |u'|^{q-p} \right] &= 0 \end{split}$$

where we set

$$B = \frac{A(q-1)q^{q+1}}{(p-1)p^{p+1}} > 0.$$

In order to simplify equation (11) we prove the following

Lemma 3.2. Let $\psi = \psi(\rho)$ be the positive function defined by

$$\psi(\rho) = B\rho^{q-p+1} |u'(\rho)|^{q-p}.$$
(17)

Then equation (11) turns to

$$\frac{1+\psi}{\psi(D+C\psi)}\psi' = \frac{1}{p(p-1)\rho},$$
(18)

for some positive constants C = C(n, k, p, q) and D = D(k, p, q).

Proof: By definition (17) of ψ it follows that

$$\psi'(\rho) = (q-p+1)\frac{\psi(\rho)}{\rho} + \frac{(q-p)\psi(\rho)}{|u'|^2}u'u''.$$

Hence

$$\frac{u'u''}{|u'|^2} = \frac{1}{q-p} \left(\frac{\psi'(\rho)}{\psi(\rho)} - \frac{q-p+1}{\rho} \right).$$

Thus, if we multiply equation (11) by $u'/|u'|^2$, we get

$$\begin{split} \frac{p(p-1)}{q-p}\rho\left[\frac{\psi'}{\psi} - \frac{q-p+1}{\rho}\right](1+\psi) + \\ &+ \left[p^2 - 2p + k + \frac{p(p-1)(q^2 - n + k)}{q(q-1)}\psi\right] = 0\\ \Rightarrow \quad \frac{p(p-1)}{q-p}\rho(1+\psi)\psi' + \left[p^2 - 2p + k - \frac{p(p-1)(q-p+1)}{q-p}\right]\psi\\ &+ \left[\frac{p(p-1)(q^2 - n + k)}{q(q-1)} - \frac{p(p-1)(q-p+1)}{q-p}\right]\psi^2 = 0\\ \Rightarrow \quad p(p-1)\rho(1+\psi)\psi' + [k(q-p) - p(q-1)]\psi\\ &- \frac{p(p-1)}{q(q-1)}[q(p-1) + (n-k)(q-p)]\psi^2 = 0. \end{split}$$

Finally, by setting

$$C = \frac{p(p-1)}{q(q-1)} \left[(n-k)(q-p) + q(p-1) \right] > 0$$

and

$$D = q(p - k) + p(k - 1) > 0,$$

the latter equation turns to

$$p(p-1)\rho(1+\psi)\psi' - (D+C\psi)\psi = 0,$$

which easily leads to (18).

Remark 2. It is not difficult to show that, under assumptions (7), we have

$$C < p(p-1) < D.$$

We now integrate the first order ordinary differential equation (18) obtaining that

$$\psi(\rho) \left(D + C\psi(\rho) \right)^{\frac{D}{C}-1} = E\rho^{\frac{D}{p(p-1)}}$$
(19)

where E is a positive constant, coming out from the integration.

By investigating equation (19) we can easily see that, if we let $r \to 0$ while R > 0 (i.e. if $\rho \to 0$), then

$$\psi(\rho) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \rho^{\frac{D}{p(p-1)}},$$
(20)

that is $\psi(\rho)$ is an infinitesimal of degree D/p(p-1) as $\rho \to 0$. On the other hand, if we let $R \to 0$ and r > 0 (i.e. if $\rho \to +\infty$), then

$$\psi(\rho) \to +\infty \quad \text{as} \quad \rho^{\frac{C}{p(p-1)}},$$
(21)

hence $\psi(\rho)$ is an infinite of order C/p(p-1) as $\rho \to +\infty$.

Let us denote by B_s^k the k-dimensional ball of radius s and fix $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. We set

$$S^k_\varepsilon = B^k_\varepsilon \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: \ r < \varepsilon\}$$

and

$$S_{\varepsilon}^{n-k} = \mathbb{R}^k \times B_{\varepsilon}^{n-k} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : R < \varepsilon \}.$$

Because of (20) and (21), from now on we will consider u as it is defined in the set

$$\Omega = B_1 \setminus (S^k_\varepsilon \cup S^{n-k}_\varepsilon).$$

By (20) and (21) it follows that, as far as $x \in \Omega$, ψ turns out to be bounded, i.e. we can set

$$M = \sup_{\Omega} \psi(\rho) < \infty.$$
 (22)

Now, since $D_i u = u'(\rho) D_i \rho$, by (17) we obtain

$$|D_i u| = \frac{p}{B^{\frac{1}{q-p}}} \frac{R^{\frac{1}{q-p}}}{r^{\frac{1}{p-1} + \frac{q}{q-p}}} |x_i|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \psi^{\frac{1}{q-p}} \quad \text{for every} \quad i = 1, \dots, k$$
(23)

and

$$|D_i u| = \frac{q}{B^{\frac{1}{q-p}}} \frac{R^{\frac{p}{q-p}-\frac{1}{q-1}}}{r^{\frac{p}{q-p}}} |x_i|^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \psi^{\frac{1}{q-p}} \quad \text{for every} \quad i = k+1, \dots, n.$$
(24)

At this point, we are in condition to prove the following result:

Theorem 3.1. Let $\psi : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ be the solution of equation (18) and let $u : B_1(0) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function related to ψ by (17). Then the following properties hold:

- i) $u \in W^{p,q} \cap C^1(\Omega)$.
- ii) u is a classical solution to equation (9) in Ω and then is a class $C^{1}(\Omega)$ minimizer of functional (1).

Remark 3. More generally, we may assert that u is a bounded and C^1 minimizer of functional (1) in the set $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (S^k_{\varepsilon} \cup S^{n-k}_{\varepsilon})$.

Proof of i: (23) and (24) easily lead to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} |D_{i}u|^{p} = \left(\frac{p}{B^{\frac{1}{q-p}}}\right)^{p} \frac{R^{\frac{pq}{q-p}}}{r^{\frac{pq}{q-p}}} \psi^{\frac{p}{q-p}},$$
(25)

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} |D_{i}u|^{q} = \left(\frac{q}{B^{\frac{1}{q-p}}}\right)^{q} \frac{R^{\frac{pq}{q-p}}}{r^{\frac{pq}{q-p}}} \psi^{\frac{q}{q-p}}.$$
(26)

Then the assertion follows from (22) and the fact that r and R are far from zero.

Proof of *ii*): Since in the set $\Omega = B_1 \setminus (S_{\varepsilon}^k \cup S_{\varepsilon}^{n-k}) \psi$ solves equation (18), which is obtained by transforming Euler equation (9) for functional (4), assertion *ii*) follows.

4. The example

In this section we collect the results of Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 and of Theorem 3.1, to define a minimizer of functional (1) in a particular case.

Let us assume, in the above discussion, to set D = 2C. It is easy to see that this happens, for instance, if we set n = 13, k = 5, p = 6 and

q = 16. Indeed in this case, we have that D = 40, C = 20 and inequalities (7) turn out to the following:

$$5 < 6 \le \max\left\{\frac{90}{13}, 8\right\} = 8 < 16 < 48.$$

We recall that, under such assumptions, the minimizers of functional

$$\int_{B_1(0)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^5 |D_i u|^6 + A \sum_{i=6}^{13} |D_i u|^{16} \right] dx,$$
(27)

are bounded in $B_1(0)$.

Moreover, let us fix the boundary condition u_0 in such a way that E = C. Then by (19) we deduce that

$$\psi(\rho)\left(2+\psi(\rho)\right)=\rho^{\frac{D}{p(p-1)}}$$

and then, by solving this equation with respect to ψ :

$$\psi(\rho) = \sqrt{\rho^{\frac{D}{p(p-1)}} + 1} - 1.$$
(28)

Furthermore in this case we have

$$\frac{D}{p(p-1)} = \frac{4}{3}$$
 and $B = \frac{2^{61}}{3^6}A$.

Thus, by fixing the value of the coefficient A > 0 in such a way that B = 1, by (28) and (17), it follows that the minimizer u of functional (27) satisfy:

$$|u'(\rho)| = \left[\frac{\sqrt{\rho^{4/3} + 1} - 1}{\rho^{11}}\right]^{\frac{1}{10}} = \frac{1}{\rho^{29/30} \left[\sqrt{\rho^{4/3} + 1} + 1\right]^{1/10}}.$$

Finally, since $\rho = r^6/R^{16}$, by (23) and (24) it follows that

$$|D_{i}u| = 6 \frac{R^{8/15}}{r} \frac{|x_{i}|^{1/5}}{\left[\sqrt{r^{8} + R^{64/3}} + R^{32/3}\right]^{1/10}} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, 5,$$
(29)
$$|D_{i}u| = 16 \frac{r^{1/5}}{R^{8/15}} \frac{|x_{i}|^{1/15}}{\left[\sqrt{r^{8} + R^{64/3}} + R^{32/3}\right]^{1/10}} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 6, \dots, 13.$$
(30)

Equations (29) and (30) and the thesis of theorem 3.1 confirm that u is a minimizer of functional (27) that is bounded everywhere and is of class C^1 in the set $\Omega = B_1 \setminus (S^5_{\varepsilon} \cup S^8_{\varepsilon})$.

F. SIEPE

References

- E. Acerbi and N. Fusco, Partial regularity under anisotropic (p,q) growth conditions, J. Diff. Eq. 107 (1994), 46-67.
- [2] M. Bildhauer and M. Fuchs, Interior regularity for free and constrained local minimizers of variational integrals under general growth and ellipticity conditions, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI, 288 (2002), 79–99
- [3] L. Boccardo, P. Marcellini and C. Sbordone, L[∞]-regularity for variational problems with sharp non standard growth conditions, Boll. U.M.I. 4-A (1990), 219-225.
- [4] P. Bousquet, L. Brasco, Lipschitz regularity for orthotropic functionals with nonstandard growth conditions, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 36 (2020), 1989-2032
- [5] P. Bousquet, L. Brasco, C. Leone, Singular orthotropic functionals with nonstandard growth conditions, accepted for publication in Rev. Mat. Iberoam.
- [6] A. Cianchi and V. Maz'ya, Global Lipschitz regularity for a class of quasilinear elliptic equations, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 36 (2011), 100-133.
- [7] G. Cupini, P. Marcellini, E. Mascolo and A. Passarelli Di Napoli, Lipschitz regularity for degenerate elliptic integrals with p, q-growth, Advances in Calculus of Variation, 16(2) (2023), 443–465
- [8] L. Esposito, F. Leonetti and G. Mingione, Higher integrability for minimizers of integral functionals with (p,q) growth, J. Diff. Eq. 157(2) (1999), 414–438.
- [9] Evans L.C. and R.F. Gariepy, Measure theory and fine properties of functions CRC press (1992).
- [10] N. Fusco and C. Sbordone, Local boundedness of minimizers in a limit case, Manus. Math. 69 (1990), 19-25.
- [11] M. Giaquinta, Growth conditions and regularity, a counterexample, Manus. Math. 59 (1987), 245-248.
- [12] D. Gilbarg and N.S. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of the second order, Springer, New York (1983).
- [13] M. C. Hong, Some remarks on the minimizers of variational integrals with non standard growth conditions, Boll. U.M.I. A6 (1992), 91-101.
- [14] F. Leonetti and F. Siepe, Integrability for solutions to some anisotropic elliptic equations, Nonl. Anal. - T.M.A. 75 (2012), 2867-2873.
- [15] F. Leonetti and F. Siepe, Global integrability for minimizers of anisotropic functionals, Manus. Math. 144 (2014), 91-98.
- [16] G. M. Lieberman, Gradient estimates for a new class of degenerate elliptic and parabolic equations, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 21 (1994), 497–522
- [17] G. M. Lieberman, Gradient estimates for anisotropic elliptic equations, Adv. Differential Equations, 10 (2005), 767–812
- [18] P. Marcellini, Un example de solution discontinue d'un problème variationel dans le cas scalaire, Preprint Dip. Mat. "U.Dini", Firenze (1987).
- [19] P. Marcellini, Regularity of minimizers of integrals in the calculus of variations with non standard growth conditions, Arch. Rat. Mech. and Analysis 105 (1989), 267-284.
- [20] P. Marcellini, Growth conditions and regularity for weak solutions to nonlinear elliptic pdes, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 501(1) (2021), 1-32.
- [21] G. Mingione and F. Siepe, Full C^{1,α}-regularity for minimizers of integral functionals with L log L-growth, Z. Anal. Anwendungen 18 (1999), 1083–1100.
- [22] F. Siepe, Regularity of minimizers of a class of anisotropic integral functionals of the calculus of variations, *Rend. Mat. Univ. Roma* VII, 19 (1999), 195-210.
- [23] F. Siepe, Regularity for minimizers of anisotropic functionals in the calculus of variations, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Firenze (1999).
- [24] F. Siepe, On the Lipschitz regularity of minimizers of anisotropic functionals, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 263(1) (2001), 69-94.
- [25] B. Stroffolini, Global boundedness of solutions of anisotropic variational problems, Boll. U.M.I. 5-A (1991), 345-352.

F.Siepe

DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA CIVILE, UNIVERSITÀ DI SALERNO, VIA GIOVANNI PAOLO II - 84084 FISCIANO (SA), ITALY.

Email address: fsiepe@unisa.it, francesco.siepe@gmail.com